

Sub-Committee Reports

Academic Technology Committee (ATC) – Carol distributed and reviewed a quarterly report summary from the discussion group owners from within the ATC. The chart represents a summary of the goals, potential outcomes, challenges and timelines for the 7 primary areas; Copyright, Course Management Systems, Clickers, Course Evaluations, Digital Media, Learning Spaces and Pedagogy. Several pilots have commenced and are being planned.

Personal Information Risk Group (PIRG) – Joe discussed the routing of the eight Personally Identifiable Information (PII) policies. Since being approved by the ITESC they have gone through the Cabinet, SAUPC and FAUPC. Comments received from the Cabinet and SAUPC are being addressed via modifications or clarifications back to the group. Response from the FAUPC is still pending. The information was presented to the UCC in September. Jim and Joe were invited to the November FAUPC to discuss concerns. No outcome could be reached during the session. Joe was informed that no progress was made in December and that the FAUPC will be discussing and voting on the item in the upcoming meeting on January 25th. The delayed response from the FAUPC has directly impacted the roll-out of the policies. Chris brought up that she had heard that Faculty Council had concerns as well. She noted it may take some time to resolve.

A small pilot within ITS for the PII identification and disk encryption software is in progress. ITS Data Stewards have been identified, trained and are piloting the data steward process. A full pilot for ITS is scheduled for January. The following step is to proceed with the Sullivan Center. PIRG is working on the department/group definition for each data steward to support and the communication plan for the roll-out is also being finalized; training is developed and ready.

Architecture Review Board (ARB) – Jim reviewed the progress of the ARB. Progress has slowed due to resource constraints and the efforts being placed on other high priority, high impact projects. A meeting was recently held with Gartner to review and validate the 16 architecture principles created. Gartner found the principles to be solid and a good foundation for the Enterprise Architecture Program at Loyola. Some enhancements were recommended by Gartner for clarity purposes. Next steps for the group include creating the Common Requirements Vision document and the technology inventory template. The combination of the principles, requirements, and inventory is the foundation to develop a technology roadmap for the future.

Project Review Board (PRB) – Kevin reported that the group was meeting monthly to review the new projects that are requested. During the meetings they also re-evaluate the priorities of existing projects and discuss project statuses. New reports which list all changes and new items have been created to facilitate discussions. The discussions entail enterprise use and overall institutional benefit of the projects. Kevin also noted that a new sizing field, (small, medium and large) has been created so that a rough order of magnitude for the project can be defined and considered during the discussions.