Instructor: Seungho Moon, Ed. D.
Contact Information: smoon3@luc.edu 312-915-7403
Office/Office Hours: LT 1146: Office hours by appointment
Course Information: Course materials are available on Sakai.

Course Overview
This course examines the history of curriculum and instruction in America, with a particular emphasis on the period since 1900. It pays close attention to matters of curriculum theory and policy as well, but strives to consider these issues in real and particular historical contexts. Students will examine a broad range of historical documents and theoretical essays as they consider essential curriculum questions, including:

- What knowledge is most worth knowing?
- For what purpose?
- For whom?
- Who decides?
- What is the best way to acquire that knowledge?

Obviously, answers to these questions will rest heavily upon philosophical and ideological assumptions and will involve analysis of a wide range of social, cultural, political, and economic factors. This course therefore will train students in disciplined historical and philosophical inquiry.

The School of Education’s Conceptual Framework
The School of Education at Loyola University Chicago, a Jesuit and Catholic urban university, supports the Jesuit ideal of knowledge in the service of humanity. We endeavor to advance professional education in the service of social justice, engaged with Chicago, the nation, and the world. To achieve this vision the School of Education participates in the discovery, development, demonstration, and dissemination of professional knowledge and practice within a context of ethics, service to others, and social justice. We fulfill this mission by preparing professionals to serve as teachers, administrators, psychologists, and researchers; by conducting research on issues of professional practice and social justice; and by partnering with schools and community agencies to enhance life-long learning in the Chicago area.

This course assesses the following framework standard:
CFS1: Candidates critically evaluate current bodies of knowledge in their field.

Diversity
This course supports the School of Education’s conceptual framework in preparing teachers in service for social justice by engaging students in reflective exercises, class discussions, and field experiences that allow them to bridge theory and practice as it relates to teaching in a diverse society.
Dispositions
Each course in the School of Education focuses on one or more professional dispositions. Students are offered opportunities to receive feedback on their dispositional growth in the areas of professionalism, fairness and/or the belief that all students can learn. The specific disposition or dispositions for each course are listed on this syllabus and the descriptions for the expected behaviors for the disposition(s) can be found on the rubric posted in LiveText for this course.

This course assesses all three dispositions. The behavior expected at the acceptable level for each is described below.

- **Professionalism**: Is prepared. Is responsible toward work. Is open-minded. Works well with others. Responds with appropriate language, affect, and actions. Makes appropriate changes in response to feedback.
- **Fairness**: Respects students, families, communities, and peers. Creates an inclusive classroom environment. Is responsive to students/learners needs.
- **All Students Can Learn**: Has high expectations for all students/learners. Works with all students/learners. Is not easily discouraged by lack of student/learners progress. Resists making assumptions about students/learners, families, and communities based on stereotypes. Reflects on practices and their impact on student/learners learning.

Technology
Students will access information from Sakai in order to complete assignments and use LiveText, a web-based program, to submit a core assessment. Students will use technology resources to conduct research and present findings. These activities are designed to enhance students’ abilities to use technology as a teaching and learning tool.

Electronic Communication Policies and Guidelines
http://www.luc.edu/media/lucedu/education/pdfs/SOE_Cyberbullying_Policy.pdf

Course Objectives
**Students will be able to:**

- Explain, analyze and evaluate the major tenets, premises, and assumptions of important curricular and instructional theories since 1900.
- Describe and explain significant historical events, developments, and trends in the field of curriculum and instruction since 1900.
- Analyze and interpret historical documents relating to curricular and instructional theory, policy and practice.
- Analyze and evaluate historical interpretations of curricular or instructional trends in a personally relevant subject matter domain.

IDEA Objectives:

- IDEA 1. Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends)
- IDEA 2. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or theories
- IDEA 11. Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view
**Required and Recommended Texts**

The following text is required:


**Required Readings on Sakai:**


The following texts are recommended for further reading and would be quite useful for your research projects.


**Evaluation and Assignments:**

General Evaluation Criteria - In addition to the general criteria described below, each assignment has a rubric with specific criteria. **These rubrics are available on Sakai under “Assignments”**.

- Assignments submitted after the due date will receive a lower grade. A point will be deducted for each day late.
- All assignments must be submitted via Sakai, unless noted otherwise.
• Students are expected to use APA style (6th ed.) for citing references. When quoting and paraphrasing sources or adapting an idea from a source those sources must be cited. See “External Links” on Sakai for a link to a resource on APA citation style.
• All work must address overall assignment requirements, including formatting – typed double-spaced, 1” margins, 12 point font, appropriate identifying information, etc.
• Please note: Writing support is available through the university’s writing center: http://www.luc.edu/writing/

Assignments - Complete details for each assignment will be reviewed in class.

I. Participation (20 points): Students are expected to attend class, read and discuss assigned readings, as well as participate in all class activities. The following course protocols offer more details on the expectations regarding participation in this course.
  • Attendance - Class attendance and punctuality are professional responsibilities to be exercised for success in this course. If you know you will be late or you will need to miss a class, please notify me in advance. Consistently being late and/or missing more than one class session will affect the assessment of your participation.
  • Class participation - Class participation is an important part of the final grade. Participation will be based on preparation and involvement in class discussions and quality of knowledge-based responses.
  • Communication – All participants are required to monitor communication from their instructor and from the School of Education via your Loyola email. It is the participant’s responsibility to receive all communication in a timely manner. Be sure to forward your personal email account to your Loyola email address.

II. Class Discussion/Activities Facilitators (10 points): For the assigned weeks, two or three students will facilitate the class discussion/activities for approximately one hour. The assigned facilitators will prepare relevant hands-on activates or discussion questions that the class can engage in the assigned readings and relevant issues. The facilitators should contact the instructor at least two weeks before their assigned week. You are expected to discuss the major ideas of that week and make connections between the chapters and your own experiences. The facilitators will be assigned during the first class.

III. Reading Responses (6 @5points/ 30 points total): Submit on Sakai.
  • Students are expected to write six reading responses (with a minimum of 500 to a maximum of 750 words) during the course in which students organize their thinking about the ideas and arguments made by the authors of the week’s readings. The responses will end with at least two questions that remain unanswered after a careful deliberation about the readings. It is important to note that more than summaries; these responses are opportunities for making informed critiques of the ideas read.
    o Although opinions are an important component of arguments, it is expected that students begin to develop informed positions towards the ideas and be able to defend those positions using evidence from the readings. Responses should be written prior to class and submitted to me on Sakai. Students will also need to bring a written copy to class to support the discussion.
IV. Core Assessment: History of Curriculum Research Paper (40): See attached description and rubric below. SUBMIT ON LIVETEXT.

Course Grades
I will use the following chart to guide me in awarding your final grade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>186+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>180-185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>174-179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>166-173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>160-165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>154-159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>146-153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>140-145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>134-139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>126-133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>62% and Below</td>
<td>125-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Important University Policies and Information

Syllabus Addendum Link

- www.luc.edu/education/syllabus-addendum/

This link directs students to statements on essential policies regarding academic honesty, accessibility, ethics line reporting and electronic communication policies and guidelines. We ask that you read each policy carefully.

This link will also bring you to the full text of our conceptual framework that guides the work of the School of Education – Social Action through Education.

IDEA Course Evaluation Link for Students
Each course you take in the School of Education is evaluated through the IDEA Campus Labs system. We ask that when you receive an email alerting you that the evaluation is available that you promptly complete it. To learn more about IDEA or to access the website directly to complete your course evaluation go to: http://luc.edu/idea/ and click on STUDENT IDEA LOGIN on the left hand side of the page.

Dispositions
All students are assessed on one or more dispositional areas of growth across our programs: Professionalism, Fairness, and the Belief that All Students Can Learn. The instructor in your course will identify the dispositions assessed in this course and you can find the rubrics related to these dispositions in LiveText. Disposition data is reviewed by program faculty on a regular basis. This allows faculty to work with students to develop throughout their program and address any issues as they arise.

**LiveText**

All students, except those who are non-degree, must have access to LiveText to complete the benchmark assessments aligned to the Conceptual Framework Standards and all other accreditation, school-wide and/or program-wide related assessments. You can access more information on LiveText here: LiveText.

## Course Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/31</td>
<td>Introductions, Preliminary Planning, Introduction to Historical Analysis of Curriculum and Instruction&lt;br&gt;• Seminar Guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/7</td>
<td>Curriculum History in the US.&lt;br&gt;• Curriculum Studies Reader (CSR), Preface and Introduction, ix – xiv&lt;br&gt;• American Curriculum (AC), 1-5 (Sakai)&lt;br&gt;• Curriculum History (Sakai)&lt;br&gt;• A Course of Study; The committee of ten; The committee of fifteen (Sakai)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/14</td>
<td>Ways of Knowing and Power/knowledge&lt;br&gt;• Anderson (1988) –Selected chapters from “The Education of Black in the South, 1860-1935” (Sakai)&lt;br&gt;• Bernal (1998)-Using Chicana epistemology- Sakai&lt;br&gt;• Dillard (2000)- …examining an endarkened feminist epistemology&lt;br&gt;• Moon (2006)- Wuwei (non-action) philosophy and actions&lt;br&gt;Reading Response #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/21</td>
<td>A Prologue to Curriculum Studies&lt;br&gt;• Curriculum Studies Reader (CSR), pp. 1-51.&lt;br&gt;Reading Response #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/28</td>
<td>Curriculum at Education’s Center Stage&lt;br&gt;• Curriculum Studies Reader (CSR), pp. 55-139.&lt;br&gt;Reading Response #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/05</td>
<td>A library section and Literature Review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• The class meets at Corboy Law Center #201 at 7pm (facilitated by librarian Tracy Ruppman)
• Regular class starts at 8:30pm

10/12 Mid-semester independent research

10/19 Reconceptualizing Curriculum Theory

• Curriculum Studies Reader (CSR), pp. 141-205.
• Miller (2006). feminist, autobiographical perspectives (Sakai)

Reading Response #4

10/26 After a Century of Curriculum Thought I

• Curriculum Studies Reader (CSR), pp. 209-287.

Reading Response #5

11/02 After a Century of Curriculum Thought II

• Curriculum Studies Reader (CSR), pp. 289-405.

Reading Response #6

11/09 Bilingualism History and Curriculum Issues

• Kim et al. (2015). Bilingual Education in the US: An historical overview and examination of two-way immersion
• Other articles will be provided via Sakai.

11/16 Research Project Progress Reports and Peer Feedback

• Peer feedback on the progress report
• Small group work for the final paper I

11/23 Thanksgiving

11/30 History of Curriculum Place and Grading

• Readings will be provided in November

12/07 Research Paper Presentations I

12/14 Final Paper Due

History of Curriculum Research Paper Due (LiveText)-NO face-to-face meeting
History of Curriculum Research Paper (CFI) – SUBMIT ON LIVETEXT

A main objective of this course is to explicate the history of curriculum and instruction in the context of our country’s various meanings for schooling and learning past and present. This is accomplished by exploring the assumptions undergirding curricular and instructional theory and practice through readings, in-class discussions and activities, and assignments.

In order to explore more in depth curriculum trends and their influences, you have a chance to do a literature review that details the curriculum trends in a personally relevant curricular topic.

Assignment guidelines:

You are responsible for researching the history of curriculum (since 1900) on a particular topic of your choice. Your research findings will be written in a 12-15 page paper and the following two questions will guide your research:

1. What are the notable historical curricular happenings in your curricular topic and when did they occur?
2. How did these historical moments shape the pedagogy in your curricular topic?

The goal of the research is to: (a) expand our understanding of the topic by identifying and synthesizing key research of notable historical curriculum trends in your chosen curricular topic; (b) describe the effects of curriculum trends on pedagogy, and; (c) devise questions for further research in this area.

You are expected to incorporate reviews of at least 15-20 closely related sources.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identify notable historical curriculum trends</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paper includes notable historical curriculum trends in subject/ topic across the full time span from 1900 to present. Significant scholars and titles of important texts are referenced. Actions and/or policies of government and other agencies are present.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Events are missing, important scholars are missing, titles of important texts are missing, and/or relevant documents from government and other agencies are missing; time span is limited.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effects of curriculum trends on pedagogy in chosen topic</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paper includes detailed and accurate information about the effects of curriculum trends on pedagogy on the topic.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Paper includes vague or little information about the effects of curriculum trends on pedagogy on the topic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reflection on Findings</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The paper contains pointed reflection noting significant findings from the literature review.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The paper contains information that is too general or is missing the reflection on the findings of the literature review.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Researchable questions</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The paper contains three or more well grounded questions the literature review generated, and explains how researching the questions will advance curriculum trends and pedagogy in the subject/topic.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The paper contains only one or two additional questions generated from the literature review. OR The questions are not consistent with the literature review findings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format guidelines</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The literature review follows all format guidelines listed in the syllabus.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The literature review contains numerous errors relative to format and APA style guidelines.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conventions and Citations</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>Unacceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grammatical conventions and citations reflect little or no errors.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grammatical conventions and citations reflect some errors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall IL-LUC-CF.1</th>
<th>TARGET</th>
<th>ACCEPTABLE</th>
<th>UNACCEPTABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>