TLLSC 360: Developing Rigorous and Relevant Instruction and Assessment
Sequence 7: Putting it Together: Developing and Implementing Rigorous and Relevant Instruction and Assessment

Teaching, Learning, and Leading with Schools and Communities
School of Education, Loyola University Chicago
Fall Semester MMXVI

Instructor Information
Name: Kathleen Lee
Email: kmcdonnell2@luc.edu
Office hours: by appointment

Module Information
Dates: August 29, 2014 – November 14, 2014
Days: Monday Seminar
Times: 5:00 – 6:45
On-Campus Location: Mundelein 407

Module Description
During this rigorous module, candidates continue to learn while also applying their accumulated knowledge and skills. The experiences in this sequence are designed to allow candidates to measure their growth in the areas of planning, instruction, and assessment while reflecting on what teacher candidates should know and be able to do prior to student teaching and prior to certification. Candidates will work with their mentor teacher two days per week while being supervised at the school site by a University Coach. Monday night seminars will be held on campus and lead by Loyola faculty. This sequence also prepares candidates for the required Impact on Student Learning Project they will complete during their student teaching in Sequence 8. Candidates will compose a pilot Impact project. Candidates will administer, analyze, and reflect upon an assessment. This sequence will better prepare candidate for independent work by also discussing components of the Teacher Performance Assessment (edTPA). This sequence addresses TLLSC Enduring Understandings 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 9.
Module Goals

Essential Questions:

- How does knowledge and understanding of my students impact my instructional planning, assessment choices, and delivery?
- How do educators draw on their understanding of education theories when making decisions concerning instructional planning, assessment, and delivery?
- How do educators collaborate with others to support student learning?
- How do educators balance relevance and rigor when choosing content and pedagogy?

As a part of this module, candidates will understand that effective educators:

- Use research and evidence-based practices to design instruction that includes the alignment of goals, objectives, assessments and instructional strategies to meet the individual needs of students.
- Use data to drive instruction and assess teaching and learning effectiveness.
- Apply deep understanding of both content and pedagogy to provide developmentally appropriate instruction to all students.

As a part of this module, candidates will:

- Incorporate research and evidence-based practices into the design of instruction (e.g. UbD, IB, SIOP and UDL). (5S; 9A) (IB)
- Design a standards-based instructional unit that uses backward design (e.g. UbD) to align objectives with assessments and instructional practices based on high expectations for each student’s learning and behavior. (3H; 3I) (IB)
- Select relevant instructional content, materials, resources and strategies for differentiated and universally designed instruction. (3Q; 5O) (IB)
- Use assessment strategies and devices that are nondiscriminatory, and take into consideration the impact of disabilities, methods of communication, cultural background, and primary language on measuring knowledge and performance of students. (7R) (IB)
- Use data to differentiate assessments to meet the needs of diverse learners. (1H; 3J; 5P) (IB)
- analyze and use student information to design instruction that meets the diverse needs of students and leads to ongoing growth and achievement (1H) (IB)
- Use data to plan for differentiated instruction to allow for variations in individual learning needs (3J) (IB)
- Use assessment data, student work samples, and observations from continuous monitoring of student progress to plan and evaluate effective content area reading, writing, and oral communication instruction (6H)

IDEA Objectives: (Complete the course evaluation online at IDEA Campus Labs website: [http://luc.edu/idea/](http://luc.edu/idea/))

- Gaining a basic understanding of the subject (e.g., factual knowledge, methods, principles, generalizations, theories)
- Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)
- Learning how to find, evaluate, and use resources to explore a topic in depth
• Learning appropriate methods for collecting, analyzing, and interpreting numerical information

Dispositions Assessment:
Each course in the School of Education focuses on one or more professional dispositions. Students are offered opportunities to receive feedback on their dispositional growth in the areas of professionalism, fairness and/or the belief that all students can learn. The specific disposition or dispositions for each course are listed on this syllabus and the descriptions for the expected behaviors for the disposition(s) can be found on the rubric posted in LiveText for this course.

• **D3** value diversity and advocate for all students, particularly those from populations that are historically disenfranchised, underserved and/or overrepresented (including, but not limited to race, ethnicity, culture, language, SES, immigration status, exceptionality, ability, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity). (a1A, i1F, i2J) (IB)

• **D6** collect and analyze community, school, family, and student data to guide educational decision making. (a2E) (IB)

• **D7** value the unique identities and backgrounds of all students, families and communities as essential assets in learning environments. (i2D, i2F) (IB)

• **D14** demonstrate high levels of personal engagement and investment in all students’ learning while remaining persistent in seeking strategies for reaching students who are not initially successful.

Grading Policy & Scale:
The final grade is based upon the completion of course requirements, as weighted above and following this scale:

- 93% - 100% A
- 90% - 92% A-
- 87% - 89% B+
- 83% - 86% B
- 80% - 82% B-
- 77% - 79% C+
- 73% - 76% C
- 70% - 72% C-
- 67% - 69% D+
- 63% - 66% D
- 60% - 62% D-
- Below 60% F

Attendance:
- Arrive promptly and maintain excellent attendance records. (see Addendum 1) Candidates are expected to attend every module session for the scheduled duration as to maintain consistency for students and school professionals. Ask your professor and classroom teacher(s) how they wish to be contacted regarding any special circumstances. Make arrangements and notify everyone involved before a scheduled absence.
• Inform your professor and classroom teacher(s) ahead of time – by phone message or email if possible if you must be absent. If there is an emergency, contact your professor as soon as reasonably possible afterward. After missing a day of the module, it is necessary to contact your professor.
• Assignments are due on the dates listed on course syllabi unless permission to hand them in late is given. Be sure to follow the policies of your specific professor of each module as it relates to policies on assignments.

Module Assignments

• **Module Participation: 10-20% of final grade**
  ○ Candidates are expected to consistently and actively participate in all class activities in discussions. Since much of this module takes place in a school, candidates are expected to dress and act professionally. They must arrive on time and stay for the duration of the class session. Class sessions will build directly on assigned readings; candidates must come to class having read all assigned texts and articles, as well as completing a reader response.
  
  • Participation will make up **10%** of your final grade for this course and be determined using the following common rubric.

  **Professional Attitude and Demeanor Part I**
  - □ 4-Always prompt and regularly attend classes.
  - □ 3-Rarely late to class and regularly attend classes (No more than 1 absence).
  - □ 2-Sometimes late to class and regularly attend classes. (No more than 2 absences).
  - □ 0-Often late to class and/or poor attendance of classes (More than 2 absences).

  **Professional Attitude and Demeanor Part II**
  - □ 4-Always prepared for class with assignments and required class materials.
  - □ 3-Rarely unprepared for class with assignments and required class materials.
  - □ 2-Often unprepared for class with assignments and required class materials.
  - □ 0-Rarely prepared for class with assignments and required class materials.

  **Level of Engagement in Class**
  - □ 4-Always a willing participant. Contributes by offering ideas and asking questions each class in small groups and the whole class.
  - □ 3-Often a willing participant. Contributes by offering ideas and asking questions each class in small groups or the whole class.
  - □ 2-Rarely a willing participant. Rarely contributes to class by offering ideas or asking questions.
  - □ 0-Never a willing participant. Never contributes to class by offering ideas or asking questions.

  **Listening Skills**
  - □ 4-Listen when others talk, both in groups and in class. Incorporate or build off of the ideas of others.
  - □ 3-Listen when others talk, both in groups and in class.
  - □ 2-Rarely listen when others talk, both in groups and in class.
  - □ 0-Does not listen or interrupt when others talk, both in groups and in class

• **Content Specific, Standards-Aligned Practice edTPA Unit: 50-70% of final grade**
Candidates, with the support of their cooperating teacher, will plan, instruct, assess and analyze a 3-5 day unit in the edTPA format. edTPA handbooks and response templates will guide the design of this unit. The lesson plans must be submitted using the template contained in this syllabus (see Addendum 2). Candidates must also provide evidence of the effective use of WIDA standards, suitable to instructional goals and to enhance teaching and learning. The completed assessment serves as the summative assessment for this sequence.

- **Content Specific Assignments: 20% of final grade**
  - Candidates will receive more information about these assignments as the semester progresses. They will involve writing a lesson plan on a specific topic.

## Module Reference Books


## Tentative Module Seminar Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week (Seminar meets Mondays)</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>notes</th>
<th>Assignment Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| #1 August 29th | • edTPA Introduction  
  • Discuss options for edTPA handbook  
  • Discussion of content area specific topics | • Candidates get release form | |
<p>| #2 September 5 | No Class: Labor Day | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#3</th>
<th>September 12</th>
<th></th>
<th>#3</th>
<th>September 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|     | - edTPA Planning Task Rubrics 1-5  
|     | - Decide on edTPA Handbook           |   |     | - Use Rubrics Explained  
|     |                                          |   |     | - Instructors send handbook decision to JB |
| #4  | September 19 |   | #4  | September 19 |
|     | - Lesson Planning and Academic Language |   |     | - Must decide on instructional sequence (will video one day) |
|     |                                          |   |     | - Try to choose and instructional sequence  
|     |                                          |   |     | - Begin work on Context and Planning Commentary |
| #5  | September 26 |   | #5  | September 26 |
|     | - Research and theory in edTPA  
|     | - Differentiating Instruction  
|     | - Discussion of content area specific topics |   |     | - **Context for Learning Due**  
|     |                                          |   |     | - Choose and instructional sequence  
|     |                                          |   |     | - Work on Context and Planning Commentary |
| #6  | October 3    |   | #6  | October 3 |
|     | - Formative Assessment  
|     | - Discussion of content area specific topics |   |     | Work on Context, Lesson Plans, and Planning Commentary |
|     |                                          |   |     | Work on Context, Lesson Plans, and Planning Commentary |
|     |                                          |   |     | Work on Context, Lesson Plans, and Planning Commentary |
| #7  | October 17   |   | #7  | October 17 |
|     | - edTPA Instructional Task Rubrics 6-10  
|     | - Discussion of content area specific topics |   |     | Use Rubrics Explained  
|     |                                          |   |     | - **Planning Task Due**  
|     |                                          |   |     | - Work on Instruction Commentary |
| #8  | October 24   |   | #8  | October 24 |
|     | - Videotaping Skills  
|     |   o Editing, compressing  
|     | - Discussion of content area specific topics  
|     |                                          |   |     | Work on Instruction Commentary |
| #9  | October 31   |   | #9  | October 31 |
|     | - edTPA Assessment Task Rubrics 11-15  
|     | - Discussion of content area specific topics |   |     | **Instructional Task Due**  
|     |                                          |   |     | Work on Assessment Commentary |
School of Education Policies and Information

Conceptual Framework Standards
The School of Education at Loyola University Chicago, a Jesuit and Catholic urban university, supports the Jesuit ideal of knowledge in the service of humanity. SOE’s Conceptual Framework (CF)—Social Action through Education—is exemplified by our endeavor to advance professional education in the service of social justice, engaged with Chicago, the nation, and the world. To achieve this vision the School of Education participates in the discovery, development, demonstration, and dissemination of professional knowledge and practice within a context of ethics, service to others, and social justice. We fulfill this mission by preparing professionals to serve as teachers, administrators, psychologists, and researchers; by conducting research on issues of professional practice and social justice; and by partnering with schools and community agencies to enhance life-long learning in the Chicago area.

Specifically grounded The Conceptual Framework: Social Action through Education, this module focuses on the individualized learning needs of diverse students in urban schools, emphasizing the role of the teacher in making educational decisions and advocating for students. Candidates must utilize current literature in bilingual, special, and literacy education to critically evaluate practices and apply knowledge and skills with diverse students.

Electronic Communication Policies and Guidelines
The School of Education faculty, students and staff respect each other’s rights, privacy and access to electronic resources, services, and communications while in the pursuit of academic and professional growth, networking and research. All members of the university community are expected to demonstrate the highest standards of integrity, communication, and responsibility while accessing and utilizing technology, information resources, and computing facilities. A link to the Loyola University Chicago and School of Education official policies and guidelines can be found at:
http://www.luc.edu/media/lucedu/education/pdfs/SOE_Cyberbullying_Policy.pdf

LiveText and Sakai
Candidates will be responsible for regularly accessing their Sakai accounts at http://sakai.luc.edu. Sakai will be used to post the syllabus, course documents, and other relevant information. Candidates will also be responsible for activating their LiveText accounts. Candidates MUST use their Loyola University Chicago email
address with LiveText. This course requires the use of LiveText in order for candidates to submit all course assessments.

You can access more information on LiveText here: [LiveText](#).

In the case study planning, implementation, analyses, and presentation with individual students, teacher candidates will utilize technology. Teacher candidates will use technology in order to collect (e.g. AimsWeb), record (e.g., digital voice recorder), analyze (e.g., research coding software), and share assessment data (e.g., Google site).

**Diversity**
By focusing on individual, diverse students in urban classrooms, this seminar addresses multiple perspectives on diversity, including but not limited to the diversity in students’ backgrounds (i.e., culture, language, ability) and classroom and school contexts (e.g., bilingual education, instructional contexts for students with special needs).

Dialogue is expected to be open and honest while remaining respectful and appropriate at all times in order to foster deeper understanding of issues pertaining to diversity. Diversity will be defined to include issues of race, gender, religion, orientation, income, and abilities. As part of Loyola’s commitment to social justice, issues of diversity will be discussed in relation to equity, sensitivity, and prosocial practices. In our class discussions and your writing, please adhere to the recommendations made by TASH regarding the use of “People First” language. If interested, an article outlining those recommendations will be provided to you by your instructor.

**Technology**
Candidates will use Microsoft Excel to manipulate, represent and analyze data and use online resources for research.

---

**University Policies and Information**

**Syllabus Addendum Link:** [http://luc.edu/education/syllabus-addendum/](http://luc.edu/education/syllabus-addendum/)

This link directs students to the following statements

- Academic Honesty
- Accessibility
- Conceptual Framework
- EthicsLine Reporting Hotline
- Electronic Communication Policies and Guidelines
Student Teaching Attendance Record – Fall 2016

Student ____________________________________________  LUC # _________________

Last First M.I.

School ______________________________Cooperating Teacher______________________________

Please check the appropriate boxes:

□ Undergraduate  □ Elementary  □ M.Ed.  □ Elementary
□ Secondary  □ Secondary
□ Special Education  □ Special Education
□ ECSE

Please keep an accurate tally of your daily attendance. Both you and the cooperating teacher must sign this record at the end of each week. Please enter the times you attended your site on the appropriate lines. The undated line is for the cooperating teacher and student teacher’s initials. This calendar is your proof of attendance during your Sequence 7 experience. It must be given to your University Coach at the final seminar.

SCHOOL: ________________________________________________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Total Hours</th>
<th>Co-teacher Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>10/12 - 10/16</td>
<td>8:00am-12:00pm</td>
<td>8:00am-12:00pm</td>
<td>8:00am-3:00pm</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CODES: 
FD  Attended full day
A1  Absent All Day
A ½ Absent ½ Day – State AM or PM
T  State Time of Arrival
H  School Holiday

Signatures:
Co-Teacher______________________________
LUC Candidate______________________________
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesson Plan</th>
<th>Candidate's Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lesson Title:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Day Number:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lesson Goal/Topic:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Objectives

What are the objectives of this lesson? Objectives are the skills, knowledge and understandings that you want your students to achieve as a result of the lesson’s activities. They should be specific and measurable. Correlate each objective to the appropriate CCSS, State or Content Standard. Include a language objective: WIDA Standard. List number and text of each standard.

## Planning to Support Varied Student Learning Needs

How do you plan to support groups of students with differentiated needs to help them develop understanding? What modifications are required by IEP or 504 plans? (For lessons observed attach the CAST template)

## Prerequisite Skills

Identify the perquisite skills necessary for student success in this lesson. Explain how you will check for existence of these skills prior to the beginning of the lesson.

## Knowledge of Students to Inform Teaching and Learning

How will you use the knowledge of your students to justify instructional plans? Take into consideration their background knowledge and how culture, family, and community impact your planning.

## Materials, Resources, Instructional Strategies

What will you use to teach this lesson? Include texts, primary documents, materials, technology, etc. If you develop your own materials, you will need to include copies. Declare the instructional method(s), and flexible means of engagement to be observed in this lesson.

## Procedures (edTPA requirement)
Clearly state both what you are doing and what you anticipate students will be doing. What are the learning tasks that support diverse student needs? The lesson should be specific regarding introduction, developmentally appropriate procedure, and closure. The activities should follow a logical sequence and support the lesson’s objectives. What modification are necessary for learners with special needs (EL, IEP, 504 …)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teacher Actions</th>
<th>Student Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Identifying and Supporting Academic

- Identify necessary vocabulary and/or symbols. Identify at least one additional language demand (e.g. Language function, syntax, and discourse). How will you target support for these language demands?

### Assessment to Monitor and Support Student

How are the informal and formal assessments selected and designed to monitor student understanding of each objective. The assessments should provide multiple forms of evidence and flexible methods of expression.
Loyola University Chicago
Sequence 7 Clinical Evaluation

Teacher Candidate | Observer
---|---
Class Period | School
Classroom Teacher | Grade/ Content Area

Adapted from Danielson Framework for Teaching, edTPA Tasks and Rubrics, and Illinois Professional Teaching Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Accomplished</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Needs Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PLANNING</strong></td>
<td>5 points</td>
<td>4 points</td>
<td>3-0 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Demonstrates Knowledge of Content**
Danielson: 1a
edTPA Rubric: 1
IPTS: 2I, 2K, 3Q
EU: 3, 6

|  | Candidate demonstrates knowledge of the relevant content standards as well as how these standards relate to other disciplines. Candidate demonstrates extensive knowledge of the disciplinary way of reading, writing, and/or thinking within the subject area. Candidate demonstrates deep understanding of prerequisite knowledge important to student learning of the content/skill. | Candidate demonstrates knowledge of the relevant content standards. Candidate demonstrates knowledge of the disciplinary way of reading, writing, and/or thinking within the subject area. Candidate demonstrates accurate understanding of prerequisite knowledge important to student learning of the content/skill. | Candidate demonstrates little to no knowledge of relevant content standards and no understanding of the disciplinary way of reading, writing, and/or thinking within the subject area. Candidate demonstrates little understanding of prerequisite knowledge important to student learning of the content/skill. |

**Demonstrates Knowledge of Pedagogy**
Danielson: 1a
edTPA Rubric: 1
IPTS: 2I, 2K, 3Q
EU: 3, 6

<p>|  | Plans reflect a range of effective pedagogical approaches suitable for student learning of content/skills being taught and anticipate student misconceptions. | Plans reflect a range of effective pedagogical approaches suitable for student learning of content/skills being taught | Plans reflect little or no understanding of the range of pedagogical approaches suitable for student learning of content/skills being taught. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Demonstrates Knowledge of Students</strong></th>
<th><strong>Selects Developmentally Appropriate Goals, Standards and Objectives</strong></th>
<th><strong>Selects Appropriate Material and Integrates Technology</strong></th>
<th><strong>Designs Instruction with Appropriate Sequence, Scope, and Coherence.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Danielson:</strong> 1b <strong>edTPA Rubric:</strong> 2,3 <strong>IPTS:</strong> 1H, 1I, 1J, 2P, 3K, 5M, 8S <strong>EU:</strong> 1, 7</td>
<td><strong>Candidate justifies why learning tasks are appropriate using examples of students’ prior learning and examples of personal/cultural/community assets. Candidate's justification is supported by principles from research and/or theory.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Learning objectives are standards based, clear, measurable, and aligned to methods of assessment. Candidate sequences and aligns standards based objectives to build toward deep understanding and meaningful, authentic application.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate coordinates in-depth knowledge of content, students and resources (including technology) to design lessons. Tasks are cognitively challenging, yet accessible, for students and require students to provide evidence of their reasoning. There is evidence of scaffolding and differentiation for all students and the lesson is sequences and paced appropriately.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Danielson:</strong> 1c <strong>IPTS:</strong> 3O <strong>EU:</strong> 3,4,</td>
<td><strong>Candidate justifies why learning tasks are appropriate using examples of students’ prior learning or examples of personal/cultural/community assets.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Learning objectives are standards based, clear, measurable, and aligned to methods of assessment. Candidate sequences and aligns standards based objectives to build toward deep understanding.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate coordinates knowledge of content, students and resources to design lessons. Tasks are cognitively challenging, yet accessible, and are designed for groups of students. There is evidence of scaffolding and the lesson is sequences and paced appropriately.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candidate justifies learning tasks with limited attention to students prior academic learning or limited to no attention to personal/cultural/community assets.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate justifies learning tasks with limited attention to students prior academic learning or limited to no attention to personal/cultural/community assets.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Learning objectives are not standards based, are unclear or not measurable. Candidate fails to sequences and align standards based objectives to build toward deep understanding and, authentic application.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate fails to coordinate knowledge of content, students and resources to design lessons. Tasks are aimed at the entire class. There is little to no evidence of scaffolding, appropriate pacing or differentiation. The lesson is not sequenced effectively.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Plans Assessment to Monitor and Support Student Learning**

**Danielson:** 1e  
**edTPA Rubric:** 5  
**IPTS:** 2K, 4N, 7K, 7N  
**EU:** 4, 7

| The assessments provide multiple forms of evidence to monitor student progress toward developing understanding. The plan for student assessment is aligned with the standards-based learning objectives identified for the lesson. Assessment adaptations required by IEP or 504 plans are made. The assessments allow individuals with specific needs to demonstrate their learning. | The assessments provide evidence to monitor student progress toward developing understanding. The plan for student assessment is aligned with the standards-based learning objectives identified for the lesson. Assessment adaptations required by IEP or 504 plans are made. | The assessments provide limited evidence to monitor student progress toward developing understanding. The plan for student assessment is not aligned with the standards-based learning objectives identified for the lesson. Failure to adapt the assessments as required by IEP or 504 plans is an automatic zero. |

**Identifies and Supports Language Demands**

**Danielson:**  
**edTPA Rubric:** 4  
**IPTS:** 2Q, 3Q, 6J, 6L, 6M, 6Q  
**EU:** 3, 6

| The candidate identifies vocabulary (and/or symbols) and additional language demand(s) (e.g. syntax and/or discourse) associated with the lesson. The plans include targeted support for use of vocabulary and the additional language demand(s) | The candidate identifies vocabulary (and/or symbols). Attention to additional language demand(s) (e.g. syntax and/or discourse) is superficial. The plans include general support for use of vocabulary and the additional language demand(s) | The candidate identifies vocabulary (and/or symbols) but fails to mention additional language demand(s) (e.g. syntax and/or discourse). The plans include little to no support for use of vocabulary. |

**THE CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT**

**Creates a Respectful and Supportive Learning Environment**

**Danielson:** 2a  
**edTPA Rubric:** 6  
**IPTS:** 1K, 3H, 4I, 4J, 4K, 4L, 4O, 5M  
**EU:** 1, 7, 9

<p>| Patterns of classroom interaction, both between the candidate and students and among students, are highly respectful, reflecting genuine caring. The net result of interactions is that of academic and personal connections between students and adults. | Patterns of classroom interaction, between the candidates reflect rapport and respect. The net result of interactions is that of academic and professional connections between students and adults. | Candidate provides a learning environment that serves primarily to control student behavior. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Engages Students in Learning and Responsibility</strong></th>
<th>The candidate creates a classroom culture that reflects a shared belief in the importance of learning, perseverance, and hard work. Students are engaged in the learning task that deepens and extends their understanding. Students assume responsibility for high quality work.</th>
<th>The candidate creates a classroom culture that communicates the importance of learning, perseverance, and hard work. Students are engaged in the learning task that develops their understanding. Students assume responsibility for their work.</th>
<th>The candidate fails to create a classroom culture that reflects the importance of learning, perseverance, and hard work. Students have limited engagement in the learning task because of a teacher centered classroom.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielson: 2b edTPA Rubric: 7</td>
<td>EU: 2</td>
<td>IPTS: 5L, 6J</td>
<td>EU: 1,7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Manages Classroom Procedures and Time</strong></th>
<th>Effective classroom routines and procedures maximize instructional time. The candidate orchestrates the environment so that students contribute to the management of instructional grouping, transitions, and/or the handling of materials. Students follow classroom procedures without the candidate’s prompting.</th>
<th>Effective classroom routines and procedures with minimal loss of instructional time. The candidate directs the environment so that students contribute to the management of instructional grouping, transitions, and/or the handling of materials with little disruption. With minimal prompting and guidance students follow classroom procedures without the candidate’s prompting.</th>
<th>Classroom routines and procedures are insufficient to prevent the loss of instructional time. The candidate attempts to direct the environment but students fail to take it upon themselves to manage instructional grouping, and/or the handle materials without disruptions. Transitions are neither quick nor smooth. Students require continual prompting to follow procedures.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielson: 2c</td>
<td>EU: 9</td>
<td>IPTS: 4K, 4L, 4M, 5R</td>
<td>EU: 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Manages Student Behavior</strong></th>
<th>Students follow established standards of conduct and self-monitor their behaviors. Candidates monitoring of student behaviors is subtle, proactive and preventative. Candidate uses positive framing to model and reinforce positive behavior. Candidate’s response to students’ inappropriate behavior is sensitive to individual student needs and respects students’ dignity.</th>
<th>Most students follow established standards of conduct and self-monitor their behaviors. Candidates monitor student behaviors against established standards of conduct. Candidate uses positive framing to model and reinforce appropriate. Candidate’s response to students’ inappropriate behavior is consistent, proportionate, and respectful to students.</th>
<th>There is inconsistent implementation of standards so some students’ behaviors challenge the standard of conduct. The candidate is inconsistent with the use of positive framing and redirecting of student behavior. Candidate tries, with uneven results, to monitor student behavior. The candidates response to inappropriate behavior is inconsistent and is sometimes disrespectful.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**INSTRUCTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Communicates Clearly and Accurately</strong></th>
<th>Candidate clearly communicates learning objectives. Candidate guides students to articulate the relevance of the objective(s). Candidate clearly explains directions and procedures, and anticipates possible student misunderstanding. Candidate’s explanation of content is thorough, accurate, and clear enabling students to develop a conceptual understanding of content making connections to their interest, knowledge and experience. Vocabulary is developmentally appropriate.</th>
<th>Candidate communicates learning objectives. Candidate guides students to understand the relevance of the objective(s). Candidate clearly explains directions and procedures, and anticipates some possible student misunderstanding. Candidate’s explanation of content is mostly accurate, and clear enabling students to develop a understanding of content, and attempts to make connections to their interest, knowledge and experience. Vocabulary is</th>
<th>Candidate fails to communicate learning objectives or the learning objective is unclear. Candidate does little to guide students to understand the relevance of the objective(s). Candidate explains directions and procedures, but sequencing and/or transitions are uneven. Candidate’s explanation of content is mostly accurate, and clear enabling students to develop some understanding of content. Connections to their interest, knowledge and experience are not attempted. Vocabulary may be developmentally inappropriate.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielson: 3a</td>
<td>EU: 2</td>
<td>IPTS: 5L, 6J</td>
<td>EU: 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional Responsibilities</th>
<th>Deepens Student Learning</th>
<th>Uses Evidence to Evaluate and Change Teaching Practice</th>
<th>Feedback and Reflection on Student Learning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candidate elicits and builds on student responses to develop understanding.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate elicits student responses related to the development of understanding.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate asks primarily surface-level questions and evaluates student response as correct or incorrect OR candidate does most of the talking and students provide few responses. Questions may be developmentally inappropriate. Few students are listening and responding to questions.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candidate facilitates interaction among students so they can evaluate their own ability to understand and apply. Candidate uses a variety of low- and high-level open-ended questions to challenge students cognitively, advance high level thinking and discourse.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate’s questions lead students through a single path of inquiry where answers are seemingly pre-determined with few high level or open-ended questions. Questions are asked with limited “wait time”</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate fails to integrate formative assessment into instruction and superficially monitors progress and check understanding. Students do not engage in self- and peer-assessment. Candidate provides general or unclear feedback that does not advances learning</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candidate fully integrates formative assessment into instruction and uses it to monitor progress and check for understanding. Students self- and peer-assess and monitor their progress. Candidate provides individualized feedback that is accurate, specific, and advances learning.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate sometimes integrates formative assessment into instruction and uses it to monitor progress and check for understanding. Limited in self- and peer-assessment. Candidate provides general feedback that does not advances learning</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate fails to integrate formative assessment into instruction and superficially monitors progress and check understanding. Students do not engage in self- and peer-assessment. Candidate provides general or unclear feedback that does not advances learning</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candidate can make an accurate assessment of the lesson’s effectiveness and the extent to which it achieved its objective and its impact on student learning, citing many specific examples and evidence. Candidate can offer specific alternative practices, complete with probable success of each aspect of practice could have on this or future similar lessons.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate can describe whether or not the lesson was effective but does not describe the extent to which it achieved the objective(s) or impact on student learning. Candidate can offer general suggestions for improving the lesson or future similar lessons.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate cannot clearly describe whether or not the lesson was effective nor describe the extent to which it achieved the objective(s) or impact on student learning. Candidate cannot offer general suggestions for improving the lesson or future similar lessons</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candidate initiates opportunity for professional growth and makes a systematic effort to grow and develop.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate participates in opportunity for professional growth and makes an effort to enhance</strong></td>
<td><strong>Candidate sometimes participates in opportunity for professional growth and makes little effort to enhance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionally</td>
<td>effort to enhance content knowledge and pedagogical skills. Candidate invites meetings and initiates collaborations with colleagues. Candidate accepts collegial support and feedback from colleagues and supervisors. Candidate is a role model of professional responsibility in attendance, punctuality, confidentiality, and relationship with colleagues</td>
<td>effort to enhance content knowledge and pedagogical skills. Candidate attends meetings and participates in collaborations with colleagues. Candidate accepts collegial support and feedback from colleagues and supervisors. Candidate exhibits professional responsibility in attendance, punctuality, confidentiality, and relationship with colleagues</td>
<td>effort to enhance content knowledge and pedagogical skills. Candidate attends most meetings and participates in collaborations with colleagues. Candidate sometimes does not value collegial support and feedback from colleagues and supervisors. Candidate fails at times to exhibit professional responsibility in attendance, punctuality, confidentiality, and relationship with colleagues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionally</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Danielson: 4d  
IPTS: 9K, 9O, 9P, 9Q  
EU: 3

Comments:

Signature of Teacher Candidate______________________________________________________________  Date____________
Signature of University Coach______________________________________________________________  Date ___________
Signature of Co- Teacher______________________________________________________________  Date ___________