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Graduate Programs in Philosophy

Objectives
The Philosophy Department’s Graduate Program in Philosophy has the following objective: To enable students to become well-rounded philosophers who are familiar with historical, analytic, and continental perspectives on philosophical problems. In addition, the Ph.D. program expects students to become specialists in a particular area of philosophy so that they can make original contributions to their chosen area and it aims to help students become excellent teachers of philosophy.

Degrees
- B.A./M.A. in Philosophy
- M.A. in Social Philosophy
- M.A. in Philosophy
- Ph.D. in Philosophy

Administration
Administration of the Graduate Program is the responsibility of the Department Chairperson. The Graduate Program Director (GPD) is the delegate of the Department Chairperson and is responsible for the day to day operation of the Graduate Program in Philosophy, and for communication between the Department and the Graduate School. The GPD is also Chairperson of the Graduate Program Administrative Committee (GPAC) and the Graduate Admissions and Awards Committee (GAAC) and is the Philosophy Department representative to the Graduate School’s Graduate Council.

The Graduate Program Director is assisted by the Graduate Program Administrative Committee (GPAC) and the Graduate Admissions and Awards Committee (GAAC).

GPAC is responsible for the formulation of policy and regulations, their interpretation, and the ongoing development of the graduate program.

GAAC is responsible for reviewing applications for admission to the Graduate Program, and for admitting students to the program; for making recommendations to the Graduate Student Fellowship Committee for various Graduate School awards; and for making recommendations to the Graduate School regarding the awarding of Assistantships.
Graduate Student Responsibilities

- **Regularly checking Loyola e-mail.**
  This is very important. Both the Graduate School and the Department use the Loyola email system to distribute important information to graduate students. If a response is warranted, it is the student’s responsibility to reply to any and all department e-mails in a timely manner.

- **Responsible use of University electronic resources.**
  For questions regarding your rights and responsibilities when using electronic university resources, please visit [http://www.luc.edu/its/itspoliciesguidelines/policy_access_use.shtml](http://www.luc.edu/its/itspoliciesguidelines/policy_access_use.shtml)

- **Notifying the Graduate Program Assistant in a timely manner to sign up for a 500 or higher level course for the next semester.**
  Please do NOT contact the department Administrative Assistant regarding these matters; contact the Graduate Program Assistant.
  These classes include PHIL 500-501 Directed Readings, PHIL 505 Teaching Internship, PHIL 590 Dissertation Proposal Seminar, PHIL 595 Thesis Supervision (MA’s only), PHIL 600 Dissertation Supervision, PHIL 605 Master’s Study, and PHIL 610 Doctoral Study.
  If the student needs to be enrolled in any one of the listed courses for the next semester, administrative consent is needed. If the student meets the prerequisites for the course, the Graduate Program Assistant will enroll him or her in the course. Before classes start for the given semester, the student must notify the Graduate Program Assistant of the desired course to be enrolled in; otherwise, a University late fee will be charged. The deadline to enroll in classes without a late fee is by midnight on the Sunday before classes start. This means if the student needs administrative consent to be enrolled in one of the listed courses, then he or she needs to notify the Graduate Program Assistant preferably by E-MAIL by NOON on the FRIDAY before classes start.

- **Registering and getting into courses, including closed courses.**
  It is the student’s responsibility to register for academic courses. For closed courses, the student must contact the professor that teaches that course and ask for permission to enroll in the course. Once permission is obtained, the student forwards the e-mail confirmation (from that professor) to the Graduate Program Assistant (or the professor can contact the Graduate Program Assistant directly). The department requires all of these steps so that the department has a “hard copy” of consent. Once all of the e-mails are confirmed and received, the Graduate Program Assistant will enroll the student in the course.
• **Entering information regarding recent publications, presentations, job placements, and other professional activities to the GSPS and notifying the Graduate Program Director and the Graduate Program Assistant**

The GSPS is the Graduate Student Database and can be accessed at [https://gsps.luc.edu/](https://gsps.luc.edu/). This information is required by the Grad School. As soon as the student has completed or accomplished any one of the above things mentioned, please let the GPD and the Graduate Program Assistant know. An e-mail is best. When notifying both parties, please include as much information as possible. For publications, the student should not send information until the work is actually published.
**Academic Integrity**

Academic honesty is an expression of an ethic of interpersonal justice, responsibility and care, applicable to Loyola University Chicago faculty, students and staff, which demands that the pursuit of knowledge in the university community be carried out with integrity.

Academic dishonesty is characterized by the failure to apply this ethic; i.e., any action whereby faculty, student or staff misrepresents the ownership of academic work submitted in her or his name. A student's failure to practice academic honesty, depending upon the seriousness of the misconduct, will result in a sanction ranging from the grade of F for the assignment to expulsion from the university.

**Boundaries of Academic Honesty and Dishonesty**

The following sections discuss specific expressions of academic honesty and dishonesty.

Examinations: Obtaining or distributing materials prior to the scheduled examination without the intention of the teacher; providing information to or obtaining information from another student during the examination; or attempting to change answers after the examination has been submitted are violations of the examination process.

Papers, Theses and Dissertations: Plagiarism is the use of ideas, language, or work of another without sufficient public acknowledgement that the material is not one's own. The following acts are regarded as such violations:
- Submitting another person's work as one's own
- Submitting a rewritten or paraphrased version of another person's work
- Allowing another or paying another to write a paper for one's own benefit

Original Research: Thesis and dissertation work is guided by the expectation of making an original contribution to the field. The determination of what constitutes "original research" is made by the thesis/dissertation committee and the Graduate School.

Research Procedures: Data misrepresentation or fabrication is clearly unethical. Ownership of data and programs and privileged information and confidentiality of data need to be clarified and respected by all those involved in the research process.

Authorship: In all cases of joint authorship, individuals working together should establish ahead of time the criteria for their co-authorship. Final determination of authorship should reflect effort and contribution, not rank or status. Dissertations and theses may not be co-authored.

Copyright: Laws of the United States and the university need to be respected. Faculty and students are responsible for knowledge and implementation of university policy in this area.

Teaching: Intellectual honesty characterizes the teaching endeavor. Teachers have the responsibility of clearly stating at the beginning of a course any and all responsibilities of the course and specifying in percentages how the final grade is to be calculated. Furthermore, teachers will inform themselves of appropriate guidelines for the composition of course syllabi and ground rules.
Failure to Practice Academic Honesty
A student's failure to practice academic honesty, depending upon the seriousness of the misconduct, will result in a sanction ranging from the grade of F for the assignment to expulsion from the university. Channels for resolution of matters regarding academic honesty will originate with the relevant faculty members and student and will extend to the program and Graduate School levels as necessary. All instances of academic dishonesty must be reported to the director of the graduate program and the Graduate School.

From Loyola’s Graduate School, “Academic Policies”
(http://www.luc.edu/gradschool/academics_policies.shtml)

Nondiscrimination Policy
Loyola is an equal opportunity/affirmative action employer and educator which abides by all applicable provisions of federal, state, and local law. Loyola prohibits discrimination and harassment on the basis of race, color, religion (except where religion is a bona fide occupational qualification for the job), national or ethnic origin, sex, age, disability, marital status, sexual orientation, gender identity, veteran’s status or any other characteristic protected by applicable law.

From Loyola’s Faculty Handbook, Chapter 8.


**Academic Grievance Procedures**

**A. General**

1. Where a situation arises that could lead to activating the grievance procedure, students, faculty, and administrators are required to take all reasonable steps to resolve the issue through informal discussion and negotiation. Where a problem cannot be resolved by informal negotiation the affected party must first resort to internal department or program procedures. These are set out below.

2. This Department of Philosophy grievance procedure policy is to be interpreted so as to be consistent with the normative procedures of The Graduate School of Loyola University Chicago (LUC), set out on The Graduate School website at [http://www.luc.edu/gradschool/academics_policies.shtml#academic_grievance](http://www.luc.edu/gradschool/academics_policies.shtml#academic_grievance). In line with those norms, this document deals with academic grievances only: non-academic issues are dealt with by the Division of Student Development ([http://www.luc.edu/osccr/index.shtml](http://www.luc.edu/osccr/index.shtml)), and research misconduct involving federal funds is dealt with by the Office of Research Services.


4. Subsequent to the activation and conclusion of the department’s grievance procedure, a graduate student complainant may appeal the decision reached at department level, and request a Graduate School hearing.

**B. Jurisdiction**

5. Matters dealt with by the graduate grievance committee include: (a) a graduate student appeal against dismissal from a program, where such dismissal was initiated by the department; (b) a graduate student appeal against a grade, including a grade assigned for alleged academic dishonesty; (c) a graduate student appeal for redress in the event of an apparent violation of departmental procedures; (d) in general, any complaints from students, faculty members or administrators that “arise from matters involving competence and ethical scholarly behavior” (Graduate School policy website document, cited above) and whose resolution may be judged by the Graduate Program Director (GPD) to lie within the department’s competence.

**C. Departmental Procedures: Initial Steps and Grounds for Appeal**

6. A student unable to resolve a problem (that falls within the scope of the matters listed in no. 5) with a faculty member or administrator and wishing to take the matter further must first discuss it with the GPD. If the GPD deems it necessary, s/he may invoke the assistance of the Department Chair. If the faculty member involved in the dispute is the GPD, the matter shall be referred to the Department Chair. In what follows the term “GPD” is to be understood as referring either to the GPD or to the Chair if the GPD is impeded.

7. The GPD may reasonably seek to resolve the dispute by means of informal mediation. Where this is not possible or not successful, the student may invoke departmental procedure (see no. 8).
8. Appeals and complaints must be made in writing to the GPD within one month of the event causing the complaint, whether this be (a) the notification to the student of dismissal from the program, (b) the publication of the grade, (c) the apparent violation of departmental procedures, or (d) in general, the event of which the student complains.

9. A grade may be appealed where the student has grounds to hold that the grade was capricious or discriminatory. A grade may be deemed to be capricious where it was (a) at least partly based on criteria other than the student’s academic performance, or (b) based on standards substantially different from those announced in the syllabus. A grade may be deemed discriminatory where it was assigned at least partly on the basis of criteria differing from those applied to other students.

10. (a) The burden of proof lies with the student (complainant).
    (b) Administrators must be able to give reasonable justification for the dismissal of a student from the program. Professors and instructors must be able to give reasonable justification for assigning a particular grade. This applies particularly in the case where a professor awards a penalty grade for academic dishonesty; in such cases, the professor must be able to present the evidence that in his/her judgment warranted the grade. In addition, the professor may not depart significantly from the ground rules set out in the syllabus.

11. The student must present to the GPD in writing (a) the complaint and (b) the evidence for the complaint, with copies of all materials relevant to the complaint. The GPD will forward a copy of this material to the relevant professor/administrator, who shall respond in writing to the GPD within 10 working days of receiving that document. The GPD will make a copy of the professor/administrator’s response available to the student.

D. Departmental Procedures: the Hearing Board

12. The GPD shall establish a Hearing Board of 3-5 members, made up of at least 1 grad student and 2-4 professors not involved in the matter, and appoint one of the professor-members as chair of the Board (hereafter in this document referred to as “the chair”). This is to be done within 6 working days of the GPD’s receiving the professor’s response. The Hearing Board chair will contact all parties involved and conduct a hearing, similar in procedure to the model used by the Graduate School in handling grievances. [See http://www.luc.edu/gradschool/academics_policies.shtml#academic_grievance] The hearing is to take place within 2 weeks of the GPD’s notification of the individuals appointed to the board.

13. The hearing is not a legal but an informal proceeding having the objective of seeking conciliation of the issues and parties involved. As is the policy for a Grad School hearing, electronic recording of the proceeding is prohibited.

14. At the hearing, the student (complainant) will have the opportunity to present his/her case orally, and may add further comment on the complaint. S/he will not be permitted to make any complaint other than that already notified to the GPD. S/he may choose not to present her case orally; in which case s/he will be asked to affirm that s/he maintains the original complaint.

    Similar rules of procedure shall apply to the professor/administrator (respondent).

15. The student and the professor/administrator may each request assistance in presenting his/her case at the hearing from any member of the university community other than an attorney. The complainant and the respondent must each, before the hearing date, inform the chair of the names of his/her representative and any witnesses s/he wants to have present.
16. The members of the Board shall be entitled to question the student and the
professor/administrator, with a view to clarification of the evidence. The chair shall see to it that
the proceedings of the Board are on all points impartial to all persons and interests involved with
the proceedings. Normally, the hearing should be complete within 30 minutes. Where this is not
possible, the hearing shall last no longer than 1 hour. If circumstances warrant it, the Board may
by majority vote decide to extend the proceedings for a further 30 minutes or to reconvene
within 4 working days.
17. At the end of the hearing, the student and the professor/administrator will be asked to leave.
The Board will either continue meeting, or adjourn for a brief period of time before reconvening,
in order to reach a finding. It may have further meetings, if the chair in consultation with the
board decides that further meetings are necessary in order to reach a finding. However, it shall
in any event make a finding within 14 days of the end of the formal hearing. The chair will
submit the Board’s finding in writing to the GPD.
18. With respect to the deadlines specified in this document for the operations of the Hearing
Board, the GPD shall have the power to give time-extensions that s/he deems reasonable upon
the request of the Hearing Board chair.
19. Each member of the Board, including the chair, will have a vote. In the event of a tied vote
(e.g. if for some reason 4 members were appointed to the Board), the chair shall have an extra
tie-breaking vote.
20. There is a range of possible findings the Board may return. It may find for the student; or it
may find for the professor/administrator; or it may find for neither party. The chair will report
the Board’s findings in writing to the GPD within 14 days of the hearing. The findings should be
such that they give guidance as to what action is to be taken by the GPD in relation to the
dispute. Such guidance shall include the possibility of increasing the penalty already imposed on
the student. In cases where the Board is unable to make a determinate finding, the chair’s
written report will elaborate the reasons for this.
21. The GPD shall inform the student and the professor in writing of the Board’s findings, as
well as the steps to be taken in light of its finding.
22. Subsequent to the foregoing proceeding, the student has the right to appeal to The Graduate
School.
Procedures

Registration
It is the student’s responsibility to register for courses in LOCUS (Loyola’s on-line registration system). It is recommended that the student confer with any academic advisor and/or the GPD prior to registration.

Advanced Standing
Students who have done previous graduate work in philosophy at another university may apply for “advanced standing.” Up to 24 semester hours may be credited toward a Ph.D. at Loyola. Ordinarily no hours of transfer credit are given toward an M.A.
Application for advanced standing must be made within the first semester of work in the Department. A student seeking advanced standing should consult with the GPD and submit transcripts (sometimes even syllabi, exams, and term papers) showing the work done by the student in the courses for which transfer credit is sought. The student needs to consider how the transferred courses might satisfy distribution requirements, how they may impact “time to degree,” and what effect they may have on the number of courses students with funding are allowed to take tuition free.
The GPD files the necessary forms regarding transferability of course credits with The Graduate School for its action.

Incompletes
Incomplete grades may be assigned by teachers when students are unable to complete the work for the course by the end of the semester, generally speaking in cases where illness or emergency prevents timely completion of the course. Students request incompletes and work out arrangements for completing incompletes with their instructors. The Graduate School has absolute deadlines for finishing incomplete courses. These are published at The Graduate School webpage (basically, the deadline occurs near the end of the semester following the semester in which the incomplete was assigned).
If the incomplete is not resolved by the deadline, then the I grade changes to an F grade. If the incomplete is resolved by the deadline, the I grade is replaced by the newly assigned grade.
In general, incompletes should be avoided. Too many incompletes may raise questions about a student’s ability to progress through the program and could affect a student's chances at university fellowships.
General Program Requirements

Prerequisites

• Entering Ph.D. students must have a Bachelor of Arts degree or its equivalent from an accredited institution. They should have a solid background in philosophy, ordinarily an undergraduate major, including coursework in the history of ancient and early modern European philosophy as well as in metaphysics, epistemology, logic, ethics, and social-political philosophy.

• Entering M.A. students must have a Bachelor of Arts degree or its equivalent from an accredited institution. They should have a solid background in philosophy (ordinarily an undergraduate minor or its equivalent).

• Students who enter the M.A. in Social Philosophy program must be sufficiently familiar with the history and general methodology of philosophy to complete courses and other requirements successfully. Those admitted who do not meet this prerequisite will be required to fulfill it by taking undergraduate courses or otherwise satisfying the Philosophy Department that they are prepared to do graduate work in social philosophy.

• Students entering the BA/MA program are Loyola undergraduates majoring in philosophy with a cumulative GPA of at least 3.3 and a GPA of at least 3.5 in a minimum of 5 completed philosophy courses.
BA/MA in Philosophy

Requirements

1. Total number of credits:
   33 credit hours (11 courses) for the B.A. and 30 credit hours (10 courses) for the M.A.
   Two 300-level courses (the capstone course and an elective, but not 304 or 309) or 400-level courses double-count and satisfy both 6 hours of credit towards the Undergraduate major and 6 hours of credit towards the Master's degree.

2. Distribution requirements:
   For the B.A. in Philosophy: Seven of the eleven courses must be at the 300-level (eight, if 301 is taken). The major must include:
   
   - One lower-level philosophy course from the ethics group (181, 182, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289), or a 300-level equivalent
   - One epistemology group (130, 271, 272, 273, 275, 276, 277, 279), or a 300-level equivalent
   - One course in logic (274 or 301)
   - One course in ancient philosophy (304)
   - One course in classical modern philosophy (309)
   - One philosophy capstone seminar (395-399) in a historical period or contemporary issue
   - Five other electives philosophy courses, of which at least four must be at the 300-level

   For the B.A. in Philosophy-Emphasis in Social Justice: Seven of the eleven courses must be at the 300-level (eight, if 301 is taken). The major must include:
   
   - One lower-level philosophy course from the ethics group (181, 182, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289), or a 300-level equivalent (182 is strongly recommended)
   - One epistemology group (130, 271, 272, 273, 275, 276, 277, 279), or a 300-level equivalent
   - One course in logic (274 or 301)
   - One course in ancient philosophy (304)
   - One course in classical modern philosophy (309)
   - One philosophy capstone seminar (395-399) with a social justice emphasis
   - One anchor course, Ethics and Society (321)
   - Three 300-level social justice electives*
   - One philosophy elective (any philosophy course at any level)

   *Social justice-oriented electives include Philosophy of Law (326), Political Philosophy (326), Topics in Political Philosophy (327), Philosophy of Marxism (375), and History of Ethics (388). Elective courses may also include (depending on content): Perspectives on Women (322); Topics in Ethics (324); Contemporary Philosophical Issues (389); and 300-level courses pertaining to bioethics when they focus on justice issues. Such decisions about course content will be made by the Social Justice Emphasis Director.
For the M.A. in Philosophy: The course work for the M.A. must include one course from each of the following: Ancient, Medieval, Modern, Analytic, and Continental philosophy. The remaining courses must be graduate courses (400 or 500-level), although a total of 3 300-level courses may be counted towards the M.A. degree.

3. **Final Paper and M.A. Examination:**

   Toward the end of the M.A. course of studies, the student must pass an oral examination on a substantial research paper or thesis the student has written. The focus of the examination is on the argument and analysis of the paper, as well as on the background thought that the argument and analysis presuppose. More information follows in the sections on M.A. Exam Committee and M.A. Examination.
MA in Social Philosophy Program

Requirements

1. **Total number of credits:**
   30 hours of course work are required for the M.A. in Social Philosophy. No more than three 300-level courses may be counted toward this degree.

2. **Distribution requirements:**
   - 3 courses in Social Philosophy
   - 2 courses in Moral Philosophy
   - 5 electives, 2 of which may be cognate courses from other departments or schools in the university.

3. **Paper Presentation:**
   There is no formal departmental examination. Instead, a final project paper, developed in conjunction with a course in the student's field or special area of interest, is presented publicly at the end of the course of studies. The presentation of the paper requires a committee of three faculty members (at least two from philosophy).
MA in Philosophy Program

The M.A. in Philosophy can be taken as a first step toward the Ph.D. But it may also be taken as a terminal degree.

Requirements

1. **Total number of credits:**
   30 hours of course work are required for the M.A. in Philosophy. No more than three 300-level courses may be counted toward this degree.

2. **Distribution requirements:**
   The course work for the M.A. must include one course from each of the following: Ancient, Medieval, Modern, Analytic, and Continental Philosophy.

3. **Final Paper and M.A. Examination:**
   A student earning an M.A. in Philosophy must pass an oral examination on a substantial research paper or thesis the student has written. The focus of the examination is on the argument and analysis of the paper, as well as on the background thought that the argument and analysis presuppose.

   A student is eligible for the M.A. Examination after completing or being in the semester of completing 30 hours of course work.

4. **M.A. Exam Committee**
   The department requires a minimum of three Exam Committee members on an M.A. paper or thesis defense. At least three exam committee members must be members of Loyola’s Philosophy Department and of Loyola’s Graduate Faculty. Students may request additional members of the committee, but they should carefully weigh the value of a larger committee against the risks. Additional committee members could be faculty members in other departments at Loyola or other institutions.

5. **M.A. Examination**
   The M.A. Examination is based on a research paper submitted by the student. The paper should be a substantial one (significantly more than a term paper, e.g. 30 pages). The student may complete the research project in the form of a paper or a thesis. The paper track is less formal and avoids The Graduate School’s requirements for formatting a thesis. However, the title of the paper will not appear on the student’s transcript. The thesis track is more formal, must meet requirements of The Graduate School including formatting, and results in the student’s transcript showing the thesis title. A student who chooses the thesis track must also complete a non-credit course, UNIV 370, Responsible Conduct in Research and Scholarship. The research project may be developed from a paper written for a course and should demonstrate the student’s ability to do professional philosophical research. It can be a treatment of a theme or problem in any area of philosophy.
A student intending to take an M.A. examination should notify the GPD of this intention early on in the semester in which he or she wants to take the examination. This notice of intent should be accompanied by the title of the paper and a paragraph summarizing the paper’s argument. In consultation with the student, the exam committee members will be appointed. Copies of the student’s paper should be given to the members of the Examination Committee at least several weeks in advance of the date of the examination. This allows time for significant revision of the paper should the Examination Committee require it.

Prior to the examination, a student completing an M.A. paper should print out the M.A. Exam Form (“Ballot for the Approval of the Text and Oral Defense of a Master’s Paper”) from the Department’s web page and take it to the defense. Afterwards, the signed form should be turned in to the GPD.

A student completing an M.A. thesis must initiate the paperwork online at GSPS and fill out the forms “Thesis/Dissertation Committee,” and “Thesis/Dissertation Proposal.” Then the student should print out the form “Ballot for Text and Oral Defense of a Thesis/Dissertation” at The Grad School’s web page and take it to the defense. Afterwards, the signed form should be turned in to the GPD. Then the GPD completes the “Thesis/Dissertation Defense” form at GSPS.

**How the exam is conducted:**

The M.A. Examination is an oral examination of at least one hour in length and is conducted by a committee of at least three philosophy graduate faculty members, one of whom is the student’s advisor in preparing the research paper. At the conclusion of the examination, the student is asked to leave the room while the committee members confer. When the committee has reached a decision of “pass” or “non-pass” the student is called back into the room and informed of the result of the exam. The faculty committee will recommend on the basis of the paper and its discussion whether the student should be awarded an M.A.

With respect to the first of the recommendations the examination committee will judge the student’s performance a “pass,” or a “pass with distinction” in case of outstanding performance, or a “non-pass.” In case of a judgment of “non-pass,” the committee will submit to the GPD a report indicating the main deficiencies which led to this judgment and the committee’s recommendations as to whether and how these deficiencies can be remedied.

For students in the Ph.D. program, the Examination Committee will make a separate recommendation to the GPD as to whether the student in the paper and on the examination exhibits the research skills necessary for writing and defending an acceptable Ph.D. dissertation. The procedures governing the M.A. Examination for students who seek the M.A. but are not students in the Ph.D. program are the same as for Ph.D. students, except no recommendation is made regarding the student’s capability for Ph.D. work.
Ph.D. in Philosophy Program

Requirements

1. M.A. requirement:
Ph.D. students are subject to the requirements of the M.A. in Philosophy.

2. Total number of credits:
48 semester hours of course work beyond the B.A. are required for the Ph.D. No more than 9 hours of course work at the 300 level can count toward the 48 hour requirement. Course work taken to meet the research tool requirement will not count toward the 48 hour requirement. Graduate course work taken in departments or programs other than philosophy may count up to 9 hours toward the 48 hour requirement provided that such course work contributes to the student’s study and research program in philosophy.

3. Distribution requirements:
Ph.D. students are required to complete 7 course distribution requirements. These are:

- 1 course in Ancient Philosophy
- 1 course in Medieval Philosophy
- 1 course in Modern Philosophy
- 1 course in Contemporary Analytic Philosophy
- 1 course in Contemporary Continental Philosophy
- 1 course in Metaphysics/Epistemology
- 1 course in Ethics/Social-Political Philosophy

What distribution requirement a course will satisfy is determined by the content of the course and not necessarily by the course title. Students are advised to meet with the GPD at registration time in order to determine what distribution requirements still need to be satisfied and what courses will satisfy them. Distribution requirements must generally be satisfied by course work rather than by directed reading arrangements.

4. Research Tool Requirement:
Ordinarily this requirement will be fulfilled through a demonstration of competence in a foreign language. Typically the language is French, German, Greek, or Latin. Although the research tool requirement will normally be fulfilled through a demonstration of competence in a foreign language, in exceptional cases students have the option of making a case that their research tool requirement be fulfilled in another way. The case will be made to the GPD and GPAC will make a decision about the acceptability of the proposed tool in consultation with the faculty in the student’s area of specialization. The dissertation topic may also require knowledge of additional foreign languages.
This basic proficiency in language must be completed near the beginning of philosophy course work. It is tested by the satisfactory completion (grade B or better) of a designated course, for example, French 369 or German 369, or by a test administered by the Graduate School.

Students may request that the meeting of a language requirement in a Graduate Program at another institution count as satisfying Loyola’s requirement. Notice of satisfaction of a language requirement must appear on the student’s transcript from the other institution.

5. **Program Requirement: Use of Research Tool:**
Ordinarily this requirement will be fulfilled through a demonstrated ability to use a foreign language in research. Research facility with a foreign language is completed as a student is able to use his or her competency in a language to do research. This requires the use of non-translated sources.

Typically students complete this requirement while writing their dissertations, so the dissertation director attests to a student’s completion of this requirement. But students may also show facility with a language in a graduate course or a semester long reading group where there is faculty member participation.

6. **The M.A. Examination:**
An M.A. examination is a requirement for all Ph.D. students, except for those who have entered with an M.A. in Philosophy. However, even if an M.A. in philosophy taken at another university is accepted in lieu of the M.A. in Philosophy at Loyola, the student will still need to meet all Loyola’s course distribution requirements for the Ph.D.

7. **Program Requirement: PHIL 505: Teaching Internship**
This pass/non-pass course is required for all students intending to teach at Loyola University Chicago. It is open to M.A. students and Ph.D. students. Department consent is required for enrollment.

8. **Program Requirement: PHIL 590: The Dissertation Proposal Seminar**
This non-credit, pass/non-pass course (required as of Fall 2014) is intended for Ph.D. students in their third or fourth years. The course aim is to take students from their initial, general ideas on a dissertation topic to a developed proposal with three main features. These include: a clear structure and thesis, a research plan and chapter descriptions, and a bibliography of relevant literature. Students may first review sample successful dissertation proposals in order to understand better what constitutes a good dissertation proposal. They then prepare short papers outlining key features of their dissertations which are discussed in class. These papers will be revised over the course of the semester. Students are also expected to meet simultaneously with their dissertation directors to discuss these concept papers and the development of their ideas.
   Working under the direction of a graduate faculty member who is proposed to be the
dissertation director, the student should prepare a dissertation proposal, indicating the
research proposed, the background literature to be mastered, and the significance of the
research for the area of specialization to which it is related. The proposal should include
a separate one-page abstract, a preliminary description of chapter contents, and a selected
bibliography. It is especially useful to provide an overview of the secondary literature in
which is indicated the current state of the secondary literature, what kind of secondary
literature will be engaged with actively, and how this project differs from already
available accounts. A student might explicitly state what parts of the literature he/she is
familiar with and what the justification is for certain limitations (e.g. secondary literature
in foreign languages or in different traditions). Typically, dissertation proposals are 25-35
pages long.

10. *The Ph.D. Examination:*
    The Ph.D. examination is a candidacy examination; that is, an oral examination focused
on the student’s dissertation proposal. The examination is designed to assess whether the
proposal is well formed and a project which the student is prepared to carry out
successfully. To be eligible for the Ph.D. examination a student must have completed or
be in the semester of completing the entire course distribution requirements and the
research tool requirement.

    When the proposed dissertation director agrees that the proposal is ready to go to defense,
the student submits the proposal to the Graduate Program Director, who in turn circulates
it to the membership of the entire graduate faculty so as to ascertain the members’
interest in the proposal and their judgments and recommendations about it. Graduate
faculty members generally have two weeks to provide feedback. In case of a generally
negative estimate of the dissertation proposal, the GPD may advise the student to
withdraw the proposal for revisions or substitution.
In light of the responses of the Graduate Faculty, the student revises the proposal and
prepares to address these responses and others that may come up at the proposal defense
exam.

    In consultation with the student, five graduate faculty members will be appointed by the
Graduate Program Director to serve as the Ph.D. examination committee. The student
should fill out the “Thesis/Dissertation Committee” form on the GSPS site.
On the day of the exam, the student should complete the “Thesis/Dissertation Proposal”
form on the GSPS site.
The Ph.D. examination is an oral examination of not less than 1 hour based on the
dissertation proposal submitted by the student.
**What the exam tests:**

The examination centers on whether the proposal is well formed and whether the student has the requisite background and training to carry out the proposal successfully. Committee members consider these questions:

i. Is it a worthwhile topic? Is it a do-able thesis? Is it not too big or too small? Is it philosophically interesting?

ii. Is there sufficient scholarly literature for it to succeed as a scholarly project?

iii. Does the student have the skills and ability to successfully complete the dissertation?

iv. Can the project be constructively improved by means of helpful feedback from committee members?

**How the exam is conducted:**

The exam starts with the student summarizing the research to be done, the topic to be explored and the thesis to be argued, the literature within which the project is situated, and the significance of the project. Then each of the five committee members will take turns asking questions and raising issues. If the committee members so desire, there may be a second round (or more) of questions. When the committee has no further questions, the student is asked to leave the room while the committee members confer. When the committee has reached a decision of “pass” or “non-pass” the student is called back into the room and informed of the result of the exam.

The student’s examination will be judged a “pass” when all of the examiners concur in this judgment. A judgment of “pass” by the examination committee will signify the approval of the dissertation proposal as written or with minor revisions, and confidence that the student is capable of executing the project successfully. The committee may also make a judgment of “non-pass”. This evaluation may reflect the judgment of the committee that major revisions in the proposal need to be made and/or that additional course work or directed readings be done by the student. If required, such work should be done under the direction of the examination committee or by graduate faculty designated by the examination committee. But whether the proposal supplemented by such revisions and/or additional work merits a judgment of “pass” is a matter to be determined by the examination committee. The committee, for instance, may require a reexamination of the student. In any case, the examination committee should inform the Graduate Program Director in writing whether the student merits a “pass” or “non-pass.” In case of “non-pass,” the examination committee should state in writing its recommendations as to revisions in the proposal, additional work, etc.
An evaluation of “non-pass” may also reflect the judgment of the committee that the proposal is not salvageable, or that the proposal is not within the range of what the student can reasonably be expected to accomplish. In this case, the judgment of “non-pass” will be reported to the Graduate Program Director with an explanation to this effect, and the examination committee will be dissolved.

**Tips:**

Students should be proactive in working with the members of their dissertation proposal exam committees. It is a good idea to follow up with the committee members prior to the exam and solicit comments and questions in advance of the exam.

The exam is not a guaranteed “pass” simply because the dissertation director has agreed the proposal is ready to go to defense. The other four committee members must agree that the proposal exam is a “pass.” It is possible that the exam discussion may reveal issues or gaps that the dissertation director did not anticipate.

In the event of a “non-pass,” the exam committee has several options. It can require revisions to the proposal, it can require that the exam be re-done or that additional course work be completed, etc. If a judgment of “non-pass” is made, the committee members must clearly indicate to the student what additional requirements need to be met and how the student should meet them.
The following chart, Dissertation Proposal Exam Rubric, is intended to clarify expectations for the proposal exam for both doctoral students and faculty members.

**Dissertation Proposal Exam Rubric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Meets Expectations</th>
<th>Does Not Meet Expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mastery of the field or problem area</td>
<td>☐ Adequate statement of thesis</td>
<td>☐ Inadequate statement of thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Coherent and clear arguments</td>
<td>☐ Flawed or incoherent arguments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Clear objectives</td>
<td>☐ Poorly defined objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Understanding of subject matter</td>
<td>☐ Limited understanding of subject matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastery of the secondary literature</td>
<td>☐ Adequate understanding of the literature</td>
<td>☐ Limited understanding of the literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Adequate use of the literature</td>
<td>☐ Limited use of the literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Adequate documentation of the literature</td>
<td>☐ Limited documentation of the literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastery of methods of inquiry</td>
<td>☐ Focused project</td>
<td>☐ Not a focused project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Well-organized project</td>
<td>☐ Poorly organized project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Reasonable plan for analysis and development of ideas</td>
<td>☐ Confused or ineffective plan for analysis and development of ideas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Originality and potential for contribution to discipline</td>
<td>☐ Potential for originality</td>
<td>☐ Lack of originality or originality not demonstrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Builds upon previous work</td>
<td>☐ Limited extension of previous published work in the field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Potential for theoretical or applied significance</td>
<td>☐ Limited theoretical or applied significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Publication potential</td>
<td>☐ Limited publication potential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11. Thesis/Dissertation:
A dissertation is required of all Ph.D. students. Students should consult with their dissertation directors about the practicalities of submitting work, scheduling meetings, receiving comments, and revising work. Some students and directors may set up regular meetings while others may wait until a chapter draft is ready to be reviewed. It is a good idea for students to clarify from the beginning how to contact their directors, when to submit work, and how long it will take to receive feedback on work.

12. Dissertation Committee:
The Department requires a minimum of three dissertation committee members on a PhD dissertation defense. At least three dissertation committee members must be members of Loyola’s Philosophy Department and of Loyola's Graduate Faculty. Students may request additional members of the committee, but they should carefully weigh the value of a larger committee against the risks. Additional committee members could be members in other departments at Loyola or other institutions.

There can be a maximum of one dissertation reader from outside the university. The student interested in inviting an outside reader should consult with his/her dissertation director. Then the student must ascertain the willingness of the outside reader to serve and submit that person’s CV when filling out the “Thesis/Dissertation Committee” form at GSPS. The Graduate School reviews the person and his/her accomplishments. If accepted for committee membership, the outside reader has all the same review and voting rights as LUC faculty. The Graduate School does not pay outside readers or cover their travel expenses.

13. Dissertation Oral Defense:
A public oral defense is required of all dissertations. The committee must indicate approval of the text to the student before the date of the oral defense is established; no oral defense may take place without the prior agreement among the committee members that the text is substantially in its final state, with no major revisions necessary. Normally, the defense lasts about two hours. At the conclusion of the defense, the dissertation committee deliberates and makes its judgment. A unanimous vote of a three or four member Committee is required to pass. Committee members may require certain revisions as a condition for passing.

All voting members of the committee must participate in the oral defense. In all cases the director of the committee must be present at the oral defense, and ordinarily all readers must be present as well. However, in exceptional circumstances and upon the approval of the director of the committee, readers may participate in the oral defense via telephone or video conference. The Graduate School will not accept a committee's approval of a thesis or dissertation if one or more voting members do not participate in the oral defense. The only exception to this policy is in the case of non-participation due to an emergency; in such cases, The Graduate School will accept the result of the oral defense only after consultation with all non-participating committee members.

The committee may award "Distinction" to designate outstanding work on both the text and oral defense; this designation should be made only on rare occasions of truly
exceptional work. Votes of "Distinction" must be unanimous. This designation will appear on the student's transcript.

The results of the committee's evaluation of the text and oral defense are noted on a written ballot, which the student turns in to the GPD after the defense.

14. Final Copies of the Text:
Students are required to submit to The Graduate School a final approved electronic copy of the text and other necessary material in proper format. In addition, in order to ensure that all dissertations are accessible to the academic community and the interested public, all dissertations must be published through UMI (University Microfilms, Inc., a part of the ProQuest Information and Learning Co.).
Timetable for completing Ph.D. studies in five years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Semester 1</th>
<th>Semester 2</th>
<th>Total Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9 hours</td>
<td>9 hours</td>
<td>(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Complete:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ UNIV 370: Responsible Conduct in Research &amp; Scholarship (non-credit)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9 hours</td>
<td>9 hours</td>
<td>(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Complete:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Research Tool: Exam or Course</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ PHIL 505: Teaching Internship [if preparing to teach] (non-credit)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ MA Exam: Oral Exam of Thesis or Paper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6 hours</td>
<td>6 hours</td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Complete:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Develop Dissertation Proposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ PHIL 590: Dissertation Proposal Seminar (as of Fall 2014) (non-credit)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Doctoral Study</td>
<td>Doctoral Study</td>
<td>(6) Non-credited hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3 hours)</td>
<td>(3 hours)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Complete:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Oral Dissertation Proposal Exam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Begin Dissertation Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dissertation Supervision</td>
<td>Dissertation Supervision</td>
<td>(6) Non-credited hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3 hours)</td>
<td>(3 hours)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Complete:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Program Requirement: Use of Research Tool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Teaching Effectiveness Seminar (non-credit, taught by The Graduate School to first-time graduate student teachers)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Write Dissertation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Oral Dissertation Exam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(48) Total Credited Hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ph.D. Year-by-Year Progression of Requirements and Recommended Actions

Summary
The progression of requirements and recommended actions may be divided into three stages: course work; preparation for the oral qualifying exam; completing the dissertation and entry into the job market. Normally, each stage should be planned to prepare the student for the next stage. By the end of the third year of coursework (if not earlier), students should be thinking about a dissertation topic; while writing the dissertation (if not earlier), they should be looking ahead to entering the job market by attending and presenting papers at conferences, submitting papers for publication, filling out their teaching resumes with a suitable variety of introductory courses, and so on.

Year 1:
Students should speak to fellow students and faculty as well as consult the various timelines for financial aid applications (if necessary) and scholarships. Students should have a clear understanding of the timeline toward completion of degree and entry into the job market. The first year should be spent filling in gaps in one’s basic philosophical knowledge and concentrating on satisfying breadth requirements in areas in which the student is likely not to specialize. Students planning on specializing in areas where foreign language reading competence is required also might consider foreign language instruction at this stage, especially in areas such as Ancient, Medieval, and Continental philosophy, where multiple language skills are required.

Year 2:
Students should continue filling in gaps in their philosophical knowledge and satisfying breadth requirements. However, by the end of the second year they should have a good idea of the area of philosophy in which they want to concentrate. Students who plan on writing an MA thesis or paper as the culmination of their graduate work in the department should enlist the guidance of a thesis director no later than the end of their third semester. Students who plan on writing the MA thesis or paper as a stepping stone toward the PhD should also settle on a director and thesis topic by the end of the second year.

Year 3:
The final year of coursework should be spent concentrating as much as possible on an area of specialization with an aim toward developing a topic for their PhD. Students should talk to prospective faculty directors no later than the beginning of their sixth semester. The third year is also a time when students should begin polishing papers for conference presentation and possible publication.
Preparation for the Oral Defense of a Dissertation Proposal (years 3-4)

**Years 3-4:**

By the beginning of the fourth year students should be working closely with a dissertation advisor to develop a defensible topic. They should also complete the required non-credit course PHIL 590: Dissertation Proposal Seminar. Advisors and students should bear in mind the job market when considering possible topics. Ideally, defense of the dissertation proposal should be completed by the end of the fourth year. Students must keep in mind the sequence of events leading up to defense, respecting all formal requirements, lead times, and deadlines (the Graduate Program Assistant, the GPD, and the Graduate School can provide details).

Writing the Dissertation and Entering the Job Market (years 4-5)

**Years 4-5:**

During the writing of the dissertation students should be active in the department and in the profession, working on expanding their teaching portfolios and adding conference presentations and publications to their CVs. As students approach completion of the dissertation, they should make sure that they have satisfied all language requirements; most important of all, they should be planning their entry into the job market. Students can enter the job market before completing the dissertation, although it is highly advisable (in some cases, even imperative) that they have finished and successfully defended the dissertation before the formal date when job appointments normally begin (usually in July or August). Before entering the job market students should have a portfolio of teaching materials (teaching statements, sample syllabi, student evaluations) and cover letters. CVs, cover letters, and the like should be vetted by trusted faculty mentors (including members of the graduate placement committee); students should also arrange a mock interview with the graduate placement committee. It is up to students to place their application materials on file with appropriate placement services (such as Interfolio) and to inform said services of their recommenders. Bear in mind that fall is the most active season for hiring and that students entering the job market during that season should try to have their materials in order no later than October 15.

**Timeline Following Completion of Coursework**

- Complete PHIL 590: Dissertation Proposal Seminar
- Write the dissertation proposal.
- When approved by the faculty member who is expected to be the dissertation director, submit the proposal to the GPD.
- Use the comments and responses collected from faculty to revise the proposal and prepare for the defense.
- Work with the GPD to arrive at a list of 5 proposal defense committee members.
- When the committee has been constituted complete the “Thesis/Dissertation Committee” form at GSPS.
- If no serious objections to the proposal have been raised, schedule the defense.
- If successfully defended, in consultation with the proposed director of the dissertation and the GPD, select the members of the dissertation committee.
- Write the dissertation.
**Degree Conferral (Graduation)**

Students are to apply to receive a Ph.D. or M.A. degree at the end of the term during which they expect to complete all degree requirements through LOCUS. If the degree is not conferred as of the date noted on the application, a new application is required for a subsequent degree-conferral date. The Graduate School's commencement ceremony is held once per academic year, in May. For more information, see Loyola’s Commencement website: [http://www.luc.edu/commencement/](http://www.luc.edu/commencement/). There is a late application fee of $25 through the 15th day after the deadline for that conferral period. Please see The Graduate School forms page for the late application document and instructions.

**The Graduate School: Financial Aid Office**

For questions regarding the Financial Aid Office’s policy on students’ rights and responsibilities, please visit the following webpage: [http://www.luc.edu/finaid/responsibilities_rights.shtml](http://www.luc.edu/finaid/responsibilities_rights.shtml)
The Graduate School: Teaching Assistantships

Teaching assistantships provide students with educational and professional benefits, enhancing their pedagogical skills. Departments and faculty members certainly benefit from the services provided by teaching assistants. However, the rationale for supporting teaching assistants is centered on the role the experience plays in their professional and educational development. Students holding assistantships devote their time to a combined program of study and instructional activities. The stipend received by teaching assistants is in recognition of their service to the university.

The following include best practices for departments who have teaching assistants:

Instructional Activities
Teaching assistants support departmental instructional activities. Depending on the student’s experience and departmental needs, typical assistantship duties include:

- Teaching classes as teachers of record
- Grading course assignments
- Holding office hours
- Working with students in a laboratory class
- Leading discussion groups
- Mentoring
- Tutoring
- Proctoring examinations
- Teaching an occasional class
- Preparing instructional material

Mentoring
All of these activities occur under the guidance of a mentor, either the course instructor or, in the case of teachers of record, an assigned mentor. The mentoring structure supports the pedagogical component of the teaching assistantship experience.

Hours
Assistantship duties should average between 15 and 20 hours per week. Given the instructional rhythm of a semester, there will be some variation in the actual number of hours spent on assistantship activities per week. Faculty supervising assistants should develop a general plan of action with their assistants to plot out and monitor the number of hours spent over the course of the semester.

Department Pedagogical Instruction
The Graduate School expects all departments to provide assistants with teaching instruction. This may occur in a formal course (with a course number) or in an intensive or year-long seminar that is noted on each student’s transcript as a milestone.

Evaluation
Each teaching assistant should be evaluated in writing at the conclusion of each semester. This evaluation should be based on the observation of the TA in action. The evaluation should be shared with the TA and placed in the student’s departmental file.
English Proficiency
All teaching assistants with native languages other than American English are required to take an English Proficiency test on campus during the week before school begins. Based on the results of this test, teaching assistants may be required to take one or two ESL courses during their first semester at Loyola. This requirement is designed to insure the ability of teaching assistants to communicate effectively in spoken and written English.

Termination
Assistants are required to keep a minimum 3.0 GPA (each semester as well as cumulatively), make progress toward their degree, and perform assistantship duties in an acceptable manner.

- Maintaining academic standing, per Graduate School or departmental academic requirements, is mandatory and failure to do so will result in the termination of an assistantship. Departments may have higher minimum GPA requirements for their assistants and, if so, these requirements trump the minimum GPA requirement of the Graduate School.
- Should an assistant’s duty performance be deemed unacceptable by the department, the Graduate Program Director should inform the student in writing that his/her performance is unsatisfactory. The letter should include information about the deficiencies and a remediation plan of action. Additionally, the letter should include a date for re-evaluation. If the student fails to improve his or her performance, the assistantship will be withdrawn.
- In very specific instances, such as violations of university policies, academic dishonesty, or violations of ethical or professional code of conduct, the assistant may have his or her duties suspended immediately and a departmental recommendation of termination to the Graduate School is in order. Termination appeals, just as all other grievances, should first be made at the departmental level before moving to the Graduate School.
- The stipend will be stopped at the date of the termination.

Resignations
Departments depend on the services of teaching assistants for the period of appointment. If the assistant must resign his or her position during the course of the academic year, the assistant must follow the following steps:

- Discuss the intent to resign with the Graduate Program Director well in advance of the actual resignation so that the department can make appropriate plans to replace the assistant.
- Submit a formal letter explaining the reason(s) for and date of the resignation. A copy of this letter should be sent to the Graduate School.
- Return of any keys and instructional material to the department.

The assistant’s stipend will be ended upon receipt of the letter of resignation.
Philosophy Department Teaching Assistant Policy

For TAs:

1. TAs, like faculty, must be available for service from August 15 – May 14.

2. TAs need not be available on University-recognized holidays and breaks, as specified on the University Calendar. Christmas and Summer Break officially begin 72 hours after the last final exam of the courses the instructor teaches.

3. Exceptions to this schedule (e.g., for weddings, vacations, visits home, etc.) must be approved in advance by the instructor for whom the TA is working. (These exceptions can usually be accommodated, but they must be requested first by the TA and then approved by the instructor.)

4. TAs may not accept additional outside employment during the August 15 – May 14 period.

5. TAs are responsible for contacting the faculty members to whom they have been assigned well in advance of the starting date. If TAs want to know what their tasks will be, they should communicate directly with the instructor for whom they will be TAing.

6. TAs must have a fast and convenient way of being contacted. This typically means a reliable email address that they check regularly (at a minimum, daily), and they must reply in a reasonable period of time (no longer than a day). For urgent matters, they should provide a phone number where they can always be reached.

For Faculty:

1. The work assigned to TAs should be educationally and professionally beneficial. It should facilitate a TA’s development as a teacher and scholar. TAs should not be assigned non-academic work.

2. TAs are assigned as teaching assistants, not research assistants. The work they perform should support teaching efforts.

3. The Philosophy department expects that TAs work primarily to assist in the teaching of core classes. They may do grading work in 100 and 200 level courses, but not 300 level courses.

4. The Philosophy department recommends that TAs be given the opportunity to lecture or teach one or two class sessions per semester. This helps TAs prepare to become teachers of record and provides them with teaching mentoring.

5. If a faculty member cannot occupy a full-time TA at 15-20 hours a week, but can occupy a TA for 8-10 hours a week, then s/he should request only a half-time TA. A faculty member with a half-time TA should keep in mind that the TA is assisting two faculty members and should not assign work that requires more than 8-10 hours per week.
University Fellowship Opportunities

The Graduate School webpage is the best source of information on University Fellowships (http://www.luc.edu/gradschool/FundingGrad.Education.shtml). The following are especially relevant to philosophy students.

- **Arthur J. Schmitt Dissertation Fellowship**: LUMC and Lakeside PhD students, in the final year of the dissertation
- **Child and Family Research Assistantship**: Lakeside Campus PhD students
- **Pre-Doctoral Teaching Scholars Application**: PhD students in the College of Arts and Sciences
Advice from the Job Placement Committee

Job Application Material
(Samples of documents available from Placement Director)

Academic job applications will typically include the following material:

- **Cover Letter**
  The cover letter should be no more than 1 to 1½ pages, single-spaced. It should identify
  the job for which the applicant is applying, as well as provide basic information about
  qualifications (e.g., projected graduation date, research interests, teaching experience,
  etc.).

- **Current CV**
  The CV should be professional standard and include the following:
  - contact information
  - dissertation title
  - statement of AOS and AOC
  - language competencies
  - educational background (schools attended/degrees earned)
  - list of conference presentations and published work
  - employment history
  - list of courses taught
  - list of graduate courses taken

- **Official Graduate Transcripts**

- **Dissertation Abstract**
  The dissertation abstract should be no more than 1 page, single spaced. It ought to set
  forth the problem and significance of the dissertation as clearly as possible while
  remaining intelligible to a non-specialist.

- **Writing Sample**
  Typically, the writing sample will be a chapter from the dissertation, but it may be a
  stand-alone article as well (especially if the article has been published). It must not
  exceed 10,000 words and should fall within the advertised AOS of the position.

- **Teaching Dossier**
  The purpose of the teaching dossier is to provide evidence of the effectiveness of the
  applicant as a teacher. It includes the following:
  - statement of teaching philosophy
  - sample syllabi of courses taught
  - sample assignments from courses taught
  - summary of student evaluations from courses taught

- **Confidential Letters of Recommendation**
  There should be no less than three, but no more than five, confidential letters of
  recommendation with the application. Letters must address both the applicant’s research
and teaching. Generally, letters are not sent with other application materials, but are either sent directly by the writers, or uploaded to an online dossier service where they may be accessed by the search committee.

**Customizing Job Application Materials**

Tailoring job applications to reflect the variety of job application materials can be fulfilled in several ways:

- **Modify the cover letter and CV to reflect the particular AOS of the job to which the applicant is applying.**

- **Change the cover letter and CV to emphasize either research or teaching.** Generally, departments with graduate programs will be more interested in research and departments without graduate programs will be more interested in teaching. The expected teaching load is also an indication. The greater the teaching load, the more interest in your teaching; the lower the teaching load, the more interest in your research. Harvard, for example, will not care as much about teaching experience, and Oakton Community College will not care as much about research.

- **Alter the cover letter to reflect the goals of a university with a unique mission.** For example, many religiously affiliated schools will want to see evidence that the applicant will contribute to the religious mission of the school. They may even want some indication of doctrinal agreement. This can and should be addressed in the cover letter. *However, it is not a good idea to misrepresent oneself on this matter.* Most likely, the members of the search committee will know if the applicant is disingenuous. And even if they don’t, it is more likely that the applicant will be unhappy at an institution that has expectations with which he or she is not genuinely comfortable.

Do not overdo customization. It is counterproductive for an applicant to create a distinct application packet for each job. A recent graduate may be applying for as many as fifty jobs. Dividing job applications into a small number of categories and producing a few customized cover letters and CVs corresponding to those categories will be more efficient.

**Teaching at Community Colleges**

Community colleges constitute a very large and growing segment of the academic job market. Understanding and applying for jobs in this market will greatly increase the chances of finding a full-time teaching position. The breadth and diversity of the Philosophy Graduate Program should help sell a recent graduate as the sort of generalist that community colleges (CCs) are looking for.

1. **Nature of Jobs:**
   - Default Teaching Load: 5 courses per semester (sometimes 4 if there is release time for special projects). Summer teaching is optional and earns one extra pay.
   - Committee work.
   - 3-5 years for tenure.
   - Tenure primarily determined by teaching effectiveness (This is determined by Student Teaching Evaluations and observations of teaching.)
• Salaries are competitive if not superior to most state schools. For example, in Illinois, Du Page CC, Harper CC, Oakton CC, and Elgin CC all pay higher salaries than Western IL U, Eastern IL U, Northeastern IL U, and Southern IL U. Tenure and Promotion are easier to get at CCs as well.
• Type of student: some are very good; however, many students are quite weak academically. Most have jobs and/or family obligations.
• Class size 25-35 students, although in California it will be larger.

2. **Where to look:**
   • Many/most positions are not listed in “PhilJobs.”
   • Many states have websites for positions at CCs—e.g., California Registry of Community Colleges ([https://www.cccregistry.org/jobs/searchForm.aspx](https://www.cccregistry.org/jobs/searchForm.aspx)).
   • Chronicle of Higher Education ([https://chroniclevitae.com/job_search](https://chroniclevitae.com/job_search)).
   • Higher Ed Jobs ([https://www.higheredjobs.com/](https://www.higheredjobs.com/)).

3. **Cover letter and Applications:**
   • It should be a professional resume, not an academic resume.
   • Customize it toward the college to which you are applying.
   • Put the main emphasis on teaching.
   • Don’t send a bulky mass mailed dossier with samples of research and publications.
   • Vita: no more than two pages - emphasize teaching.
   • Address the job description.

4. **Interview:**
   • Be down to earth.
   • Know about the college and its demographics.
   • Be ready for a question on diversity.
   • If offered an interview, go even if you have to pay for the trip.
   • Be ready for a Skype interview.

5. **Teaching Demonstration for Interviews on Campus:**
   • Gear it toward an interdisciplinary audience.
   • Ask about the make-up of the hiring committee.
   • Teach; do not give your philosophy of teaching.
   • It might help to have a video of your teaching available when you apply.

6. **Things that Are Helpful to Have in Finding a Job:**
   • Be able to teach online.
   • Be able to teach Applied Ethics.
   • Be able to teach World Religions.
   • Be able to teach Logic/Critical Thinking.
• Generalists are often preferred.
• Have some successful teaching experience at a community college. This is 
  strongly preferable –if not mandatory. (To be a serious candidate in this job 
  market you need to do some part time teaching at a community college.)

If you have further questions about placement, please contact the Placement Committee (Chair, 
Dr. Kristen Irwin).

For further information and advice, contact the following Loyola Ph.D.’s who received tenure at 
nearby community colleges:

- **John Garcia**, Harper College- jgarcia@harpercollege.edu
- **Jason Beyer**, Illinois Valley Community College- Jason_BEYER@ivcc.edu