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Three Big Events in Fed History

- The Great Depression (1929-1938)
  - “Inept monetary policy” failed to adequately combat credit contraction, deflation, and depression

- The Great Inflation (1965-1980)
  - Monetary policy failed to recognize structural changes and expectational dynamics that led to double-digit inflation

- The Treasury Accord (1951)
  - An example highlighting the importance of central bank independence
Academic Foundations of Modern Central Banking

- **Great Depression: Central banks must address nominal crises**
  - Friedman and Schwartz (1963)
  - Bernanke (1983, 1985)

- **Great Inflation: Central banks must distinguish real from nominal cycles**
  - Friedman (1968)
  - Lucas (1972)
  - Kydland and Prescott (1982)

- **Central bank independence: Central banks must be able to act as necessary**
  - Kydland and Prescott (1977)
  - Barro and Gordon (1983)
  - Rogoff (1985)
Long-Run Strategy for Monetary Policy
(January 2012 and January 2013)

- $\pi^* = 2\%$ PCE inflation

- $U_t^* \sim 5\% - 6\%$ time-varying

SEP long-run sustainable range

- Balanced approach to reducing deviations of inflation and employment from long-run objectives
Would Today’s Dilemma Be Different under a Single Mandate?

Total PCE Price Index

(2000-2007): 2.3%

Path Implied by Current FOMC Inflation Forecasts

Inflation

(2000-2007): 2.3%

Source: Inflation forecasts are from the September 18, 2013 FOMC Summary of Economic Projections
Inflation is Low Globally

Consumer Price Index
(Q4/Q4 percent change)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro zone</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.K.</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Balanced Approach to the Dual Mandate Is Consistent with Mainstream Macroeconomics

Loss Function
(percent)

\[ L = (\pi - \pi^*)^2 + 0.25 (y - y^*)^2 \]
\[ L = (\pi - 2)^2 + (u - u^n)^2 \]

FOMC Forecast (September 18, 2013)

Current Value

September 2011 Value

Inflation

\[ \pi = 5.5\% \]

Unemployment

\[ u = 9\% \]

\[ \pi^* \]

\[ u^n \]
Why Has Achieving Dual Mandate Been So Hard?

- Deleveraging in the aftermath of the financial crisis
- Global risks
- Unusually restrictive fiscal policy
- Monetary policy constrained by zero lower bound
Policy Rate Constrained by Zero Lower Bound

Fed Funds Rate (percent)

Taylor (1999) Rule based on inflation and output gap

History

Q3-2013
Policy Tools at the Zero Lower Bound

- Large Scale Asset Purchases
  - $45 bil. in Treasuries & $40 bil. in agency MBS per month until substantial improvement in labor market outlook

- Forward Guidance
  - Zero interest rate at least until U < 6.5% or \( \pi > 2.5\% \)

- Features of both unconventional tools
  - Lower long-term interest rates
  - Disciplined by economic conditionality
Asset Purchases: The Fed’s Balance Sheet

Federal Reserve Assets (Bil. $)

- All Other Assets ($305.1 bil.)
- Treas. Sec ($2,158.5 bil.)
- Agency Debt ($58.4 bil.)
- Agency MBS ($1,443.7 bil.)
- Lending and Liquidity Facilities ($2.1 bil.)

Nov. 27, 2013
Forward Guidance on the Federal Funds Rate

- Zero interest rate at least until $U < 6.5\%$ or $\pi > 2.5\%$

- Thresholds

- December 2012: “Economic conditions likely to warrant exceptionally low level of the funds rate at least as long as the unemployment rate remains above 6-1/2 percent, inflation between one and two years ahead is projected to be no more than a half of a percentage point above the Committee’s 2 percent long-run goal, and longer-term inflation expectations continue to be well-anchored.”
Output Gap: 1982 Recovery vs. Today

Actual and Potential GDP: 1982
(1981 Q3 = 100)

Actual and Potential GDP: 2007
(2007 Q4 = 100)
Fiscal Policy: Historically Unusual

Contributions of Government Purchases to Real GDP Growth (percent)
Looking Ahead: Exit Principles (June 2011 Minutes)

- **Balance sheet size**
  - Smallest level consistent with efficient monetary policy operation

- **Balance sheet composition**
  - Treasury only

- **Likely normalization sequence**
  - Taper, then end LSAPs
  - Cease reinvestment of maturing securities
  - Begin raising rates and drain reserves

- **New tools: IOER, RRP Facility, term deposits**
Looking Ahead to the Future

- Balanced approach to deviations from goals

- Inflation preferences should be symmetric

- Must recognize limitations of monetary policy during episodes in which real cycles dominate