

Intra-University Fellowship Proposal Rubric

Rating Categories	Unacceptable for Funding 1	Marginal 2	Adequate 3	Superior 4	Ready for Funding: Exceptional 5
Comprehensiveness of Proposal	Cursory description of project	Brief description of project	Adequate description of project	Detailed description of project	Completely and cogently detailed description of project
Clarity of Proposal	Proposal language is overly discipline oriented and so unclear to reviewers.	Proposal language is clearer, details are more comprehensible to reviewers.	Proposal language enables reviewers to comprehend the proposal adequately	Proposal language is very clear and enables reviewers readily to comprehend the proposal	Proposal is pellucid to reviewers, complementing comprehensiveness, clarity, etc.
Interdisciplinary research value of the project	No description provided	Minimal description	Vague description of connection between areas	Some detail of interdisciplinary value and impact to applicant's field and field of host department	Interdisciplinary connections detailed with considerable attention to impact on applicant's field and field of host department
Method for Completing the Project Proposed	No statement provided	Minimal statement	Adequate statement	Method is described in some detail	Steps for completing project are stated in detail
Description of Expertise of the Applicant and Host Faculty	Weak or no description provided	Inadequate description	Adequate description	Expertise is described in some detail	Thorough description of expertise
Project's impact	No statement provided	Minimal statement	Adequate statement	Impact is described in some detail	Thorough description of impact
Benefit of this Fellowship to applicant's department, the host department and the University	No benefits provided	Minimal description of benefits	Benefits for at least the departments provided in limited detail	Benefits for all entities provided in some detail	Both short and long term benefits provided for all entities