COURSE DESCRIPTION: This course is designed to provide students with an introduction to evidence-based interventions used in school psychological service delivery. Course content includes definitions of evidence-based interventions, processes for identifying evidence-based interventions in school psychology, and a review of well-established evidence-based academic and behavioral interventions used in school-based settings.

COURSE OBJECTIVES:

- Essential Course Objectives:
  - Gaining a basic understanding of the subject (e.g., factual knowledge, methods, principles, generalizations, theories)
  - Learning how to find, evaluate and use resources to explore a topic in depth
  - Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course
  - Learning appropriate methods for collecting, analyzing, and interpreting numerical information

In addition, upon completion of the course, students will be able to:

1. Define “evidence-based intervention” and differentiate this concept from similar concepts (e.g., empirically-supported intervention, evidence-based practice, etc.)
2. Identify organizational websites that collect, evaluate, and disseminate evidence-based academic and behavioral interventions for children and adolescents that can be used in school-based settings (e.g., What Works Clearinghouse, Doing What Works, Johns Hopkins Best Evidence Encyclopedia, and SAMHSA’s National Registry of Evidence-Based Programs and Policies)
3. Interpret the foundational criteria that are used to evaluate the quality of research to determine the strength of an intervention’s evidence
4. Identify several well-established academic and behavioral evidence-based interventions for children and adolescents in school-based settings
5. Understand the strengths and limitations of developing and implementing evidence-based interventions with minority populations

This course meets the following NASP domains: data-based decision-making; Interventions and instructional support to develop academic skills; interventions and mental health services to develop social and life skills; preventive and responsive services; diversity in development and learning; research and program evaluation; and legal, ethical, and professional practice.
IDEA COURSE EVALUATION LINK FOR STUDENTS:
Please follow this link to the IDEA Campus Labs website: http://luc.edu/idea/ to complete the course evaluation at the end of the semester. Please click on Student IDEA Log In located in the top left menu bar to complete the course evaluation.

METHODS OF INSTRUCTION: This course will consist of online, voice-narrated power point lectures, readings, and assignments.

CLASS COMMUNICATION: Email and Sakai will be the primary methods of communication with students enrolled in this course. Because Sakai uses your Loyola computer account, students are responsible for making sure that their account is in good working order. Also, students are responsible for checking their account for emails related to this class. If you have a personal email you would rather use, you may forward emails from your student account to the personal account. I will not send emails to personal email accounts.

TECHNOLOGY: Technology is an essential tool for developing one’s own professional skills and for completing any degree program. This course assumes a basic and practical knowledge of technology. I assume students will be proficient at word processing (including creating tables and figures), preparing PowerPoint presentations, communicating via Loyola Outlook e-mail and Sakai, and downloading Microsoft Word and Acrobat Reader files. In terms of performing article searches, it is helpful to have had experience; however, resource librarians are usually more than happy to help you with this. Otherwise, if you are not familiar with the any of the preceding skills/equipment, it is your responsibility to become so.

LIVETEXT. Everyone should have a LiveText account that is active. I will enter your disposition scoring into Livetext at the end of the semester. If you need assistance with the LiveText, you can find more information here: Livetext.

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK: The School of Education, as part of a Jesuit, Catholic University, espouses the following mission: Our mission is social justice, but our responsibility is Social Action through Education.

More specifically, students learn to critically evaluate current bodies of knowledge in their field (CFS1). Students in this course will develop skills needed to understand and interpret intervention research for K-12 students. Moreover, students will learn strategies for modifying interventions to meet the needs of a diverse student population. Thus, the skills you learn will advance the mission of the LUC SOE. Here is the link to the LUC SOE Conceptual Framework: www.luc.edu/education/mission/.

DIVERSITY: In concert with the mission statement for the SOE, faculty, academic activities, and learning environments will be sensitive and driven by individual, cultural, social and economic diversity awareness and respect.

DISPOSITION: Professional demeanor, suitability for practice and attitude with which the candidate approaches others, learning instruction and the profession will be assessed with the consequences of such conduct influencing successful course completion and program
continuation. Dispositions will be assessed each semester according to the rubric found in Livetext. The purpose of assessing dispositions including, professionalism, inquiry, and social justice are important considerations in individual professional development.

STUDENTS RIGHTS and RESPONSIBILITIES: Please follow this link (www.luc.edu/education/syllabus-addendum/) to learn more about your rights and responsibilities regarding:

- ACCESSIBILITY
- ETHICSLINE REPORTING HOTLINE
- ACADEMIC HONESTY
- ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS POLICIES AND GUIDELINES:

REQUIRED READINGS: There is no required text for this course. Therefore, all of the course readings will be book chapters and journal articles. The book chapters will be posted on Sakai. Students will search for and download the articles from online databases found at Loyola University’s library site.

RECOMMENDED READINGS


COURSE REQUIREMENTS & EVALUATION PROCEDURES: Assignments must be completed on time and submitted via Sakai on the dates noted. Ten percent of the total points possible will be deducted for each day past the due date. All written assignments (unless otherwise specified) must be typewritten, double-spaced, 12-pt font, and conform to the writing style and formats specified in the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, 6th edition. There are no page limits for any assignment.

1. Evidence-Based Practice Action Plan (DUE 10/04/17 by 11:59 pm): Despite the challenges of engaging in evidence-based practice, school psychologists are scientist-practitioners who must use research to guide their practice. Therefore, students will develop an action plan to address at least one barrier to being an evidence-based practitioner in his/her school. For this assignment, students will identify at least one barrier that prevents or impedes the ability to engage in evidence-based practice in
his/her school. Then, students will identify a solution to the barrier and delineate the steps he/she will take to remove this barrier. The action plan must include the following components:

   a. Background
      i. Brief description of your school (e.g., grade levels, demographics, achievement data, major challenges/positives)
      ii. Number of years in school as school psychologist
      iii. Brief description of your role as school psychologist
      iv. Brief description of the goals you would like to achieve as a school psychologist in the building

   b. Description of the Barrier/Problem
      i. Describe the barrier/problem
      ii. Explain why the barrier/problem exists
      iii. Include any research that supports this as a common/prominent barrier to evidence-based practice (HINT: Search literature on research-to-practice gap)

   c. Proposed Solution
      i. Cite any research that informed your solution (you do not have to cite research if none is available)

   d. Explanation of how you can implement the solution

2. Evidence-Based Intervention Analysis: Part I (DUE 11/08/17 by 11:59 pm). Students will identify an intervention that they have used or are currently using in practice. Students will search one or more of the EBI databases to determine whether this intervention has been reviewed. Upon finding the intervention in one of the databases, students must write a paper that includes the following information:

   a. Brief description of the intervention
   b. Target problem
   c. Target population
   d. Number of Studies Reviewed
   e. Type of Studies Reviewed (e.g., quantitative—group or single case, meta-analysis, qualitative)
   f. Rating of evidence
   g. Your interpretation and view of the evidence and the rating
   h. Explanation of whether you would suggest that your school buy this intervention

Note: If the intervention is not in a database, please select an intervention that is in one of the databases. The purpose of this assignment is to ensure that you know how to interpret the information presented in the databases.

3. Evidence-Based Intervention Analysis: Part II. (DUE 11/29/17 by 11:59 pm). For Part I of this assignment, you have identified and interpreted the evidence-base of an intervention. For Part II of this assignment, you should identify how this intervention can be modified to serve a specific population of students in your school. For example, in your school, there may be a group of ELL students who could benefit from this intervention. You should explain how this intervention could be modified for this population of students in your school. The modification can be based on race, gender,
language, SES, etc. In addition, you can also address modifications that may be needed to better fit the structure of schools (e.g., length of time, staff expertise, etc.) For this project, you should write a paper that includes the following information:

a. Description of the Target Problem Among Specific Population of Students in Your School
   i. Describe the problem
   ii. Provide a brief summary of any data you have to indicate the problem exists
   iii. State the goal (i.e., what do you want to see improved among this group of students)

b. Brief overview of the intervention that you reviewed in Part I
   i. Describe intervention target and theoretical framework of the intervention
   ii. Briefly restate the overall quality of the evidence on effectiveness
   iii. Describe the populations that have been included in the evidence-base
   iv. Explain why you think this intervention would be helpful in addressing the needs of the specific population you identified

c. Explain why there is a need to modify the intervention to address the needs of this specific population
   i. You must cite research explaining why there is a need for modification
   ii. You must cite research explaining what kinds of modifications would be helpful

d. Explain how you would modify the intervention in order to implement it with the specific population
   i. Delineate the components you would change and explain how you would change the component

e. Summary Reflection
   i. Briefly reflect on the process you went through to identify modifications and understanding this process will impact your future practice

   Students will develop a voice-narrated, PowerPoint presentation on the Evidence-Based Intervention Analysis assignment (Parts I and II). You should develop the PowerPoint as if you were doing a presentation to your school’s administration. You will be evaluated on the content covered as well as your presentation skills. The presentation should be no longer than 30 minutes. The presentation should cover the following content:

a. Part I Content: Present the Evidence-Base
   i. Brief description of the intervention
   ii. Target problem
   iii. Target population
   iv. Number of Studies Reviewed
   v. Type of Studies Reviewed (e.g., quantitative—group or single case, meta-analysis, qualitative)
   vi. Rating of evidence
   vii. Your interpretation and view of the evidence and the rating

b. Part II Content: Present an argument to convince them to buy the intervention for a specific population in your school
i. Description of the Target Problem Among Specific Population of Students in Your School

ii. Explain why there is a need to modify the intervention to address the needs of this specific population

iii. Explain how you would modify the intervention in order to implement it with the specific population

c. Conclusion

Grading:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignments</th>
<th>Points Possible</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➢ Evidence-Based Practice Action Plan</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Evidence-Based Intervention Analysis: Part I</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Evidence-Based Intervention Analysis: Part II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Evidence-Based Intervention Presentation</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final course grades will be assigned as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>93-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>90-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>87-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>83-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>80-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>77-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>73-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>70-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>67-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>60-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>&lt;60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Course Outline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topics</th>
<th>Readings</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08/28/17</td>
<td>Introduction to the requirements of the course</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None (Note: Start Reading!)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 09/06/17 | Building an Evidence-Base for Practice: Conceptualizing High-Quality Research in Education | ☑ APA Presidential Taskforce (2006)  
☑ Hoagwood, Burns, Kiser, Ringeisen, & Schoenwald (2001)  
☑ National Research Council Chapters 1, 2, and 4 | None |


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 09/13/17   | Building an Evidence-Base for Practice: Conducting High-Quality Multicultural Research | - Cardemil (2002)  
- Gone (2011)  
- Ingraham and Oka 2006  
- Morales & Norcross (2010)  
- Lau, Chang, & Okazaki (2010) | None                                                                 |
- Slavin (2008)  
- Coffee, Newell, & Kennedy (2014) | None                                                                 |
- Christenson, Carlson, & Valdez (2002)  
- Lewis-Snyder, Stoiber, & Kratochwill 2002 (example application of the coding protocol) (Review)  
- Evidence-Based Intervention Taskforce in School Psychology Coding (Review) | None                                                                 |
| 10/04/17   | Evidence-Based Interventions: Role of Qualitative Research | - Siegel (2005)  
- Silverstein & Auerbach (2009)  
- Nastasi & Schensul (2005)  
- Agius (2013) | Evidence-Based Practice Action Plan Due 10/4/17 |
| 10/11/17   | Review EBI Databases (ACADEMIC):  
• What Works Clearinghouse  
• Johns Hopkins Best Evidence Encyclopedia  
• EBI Network  
• Promising Practices Network | - Review Databases | Watch instructional videos on how to use databases |
| 10/18/17   | INDIVIDUAL SKYPE MEETINGS  
Purpose: Discuss Questions about Evidence-Based Intervention Analysis Project | None | None |
<p>| 10/25/17   | Review of EBI Databases (BEHAVIORAL/SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL/MENTAL HEALTH): | - Review Databases | Watch instructional videos on how to use databases |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/01/17</td>
<td>Review of EBI Databases: SPECIALTY Databases</td>
<td>☑ Review Databases</td>
<td>Watch instructional videos on how to use databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• National Implementation Research Network</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• National Center on Student Progress Monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/08/17</td>
<td>Using LUC Library Databases for Research</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Evidence-Based Intervention Analysis: Part I DUE 11/8/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Forman et al. (2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/06/17</td>
<td><strong>INDIVIDUAL SKYPE MEETINGS:</strong> Purpose: Discuss Questions about Final Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/13/17</td>
<td>Final Exam Week</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Evidence-Based Presentation Due 12/13/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No Class Session</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IDEA COURSE EVALUATION LINK FOR STUDENTS
Each course you take in the School of Education is evaluated through the IDEA Campus Labs system. We ask that when you receive an email alerting you that the evaluation is available that you promptly complete it. To learn more about IDEA or to access the website directly to complete your course evaluation, go to: http://luc.edu/idea/ and click on STUDENT IDEA LOGIN on the left hand side of the page.

DISPOSITIONS
All students are assessed on one or more dispositional areas of growth across our programs: Professionalism, Inquiry, and Social Justice. The instructor in your course will identify the dispositions assessed in this course and you can find the rubrics related to these dispositions in LiveText. For those students in non-degree programs, the rubric for dispositions may be available through Sakai, TaskStream or another platform. Disposition data is reviewed by program faculty on a regular basis. This allows faculty to work with students to develop throughout their program and address any issues as they arise.

LiveText
All students, except those who are non-degree, must have access to LiveText to complete the benchmark assessments aligned to the Conceptual Framework Standards and all other accreditation, school-wide and/or program-wide related assessments. You can access more information on LiveText here: LiveText.

Syllabus Addendum Link
www.luc.edu/education/syllabus-addendum/

This link directs students to statements on essential policies regarding academic honesty, accessibility, ethics line reporting and electronic communication policies and guidelines. We ask that you read each policy carefully.

This link will also bring you to the full text of our conceptual framework that guides the work of the School of Education – Social Action through Education.