

RMTD 421
Educational Research II:
Building a Body of Evidence Using Quantitative Methods

Instructor: Meng-Jia Wu, Associate professor

Time & place: Wed 4:15PM - 6:45PM, Corboy Law Center, Room 710

Office hours: By appointment

Office: Lewis Towers, Room 1040

Contact e-mail: mwu2@luc.edu

Course Description

This course explores the discipline and practice of quantitative research. It introduces students to theories, traditions and components of this form of inquiry. The course will integrate basic design principles of quantitative research with commonly used statistical methods for analyzing data from these designs. The designs covered in the course include experimental and quasi-experimental studies, observational studies, and basic survey sample principles. The course will focus on the inferential statistical methods of ANOVA, ANCOVA, regression, and nonparametric statistics. Students are expected to have successfully completed a course in elementary statistics either at the undergraduate or graduate level.

School of Education conceptual framework (www.luc.edu/education/mission/)

Our School's conceptual framework is "social action through education". This course contributes to this framework by equipping students with knowledge, skills of inquiry, and ethics necessary to be professional and socially just researchers. The data sets and case studies used in this course illustrate how statistical analysis can illuminate issues of social justice such as inequality in resources and achievement across segments of our society. Through conducting, interpreting, and reporting reliable social science studies, researchers can help further the scholarly understanding of the events and practices that influence the field of education. In addition, the statistical techniques used in this course also add to students' ability to understand the diversity of perspectives that researchers use to address social problems. The ultimate outcome of this understanding is to ensure that that all individuals, no matter their ability, race, religion, socioeconomic status, age or gender benefit from effective research.

This course will assess the following SOE Conceptual Framework Standard #3: Candidates demonstrate knowledge of ethics and social justice.

Required Texts

Adams, K. A. & Lawrence, E. K. (2015). Research Methods, statistics, and applications. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Suggested Texts

Field, A. (2013). *Discovering statistics using SPSS* (4rd ed.) Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications. ISBN: 1446249182

Course Objectives

In this class, students are expected to

1. Understand the components of quantitative research
2. Understand the concept of causation
 - a. How does it relation to research design
 - b. What are the three conditions that are needed for causation
3. Understand generalized causal inference and how experiments may best be designed to facilitate this kind of inference
4. Understand different types of reliability and primary dimensions of validity for research
5. Understand the definition of the randomized experiment
6. Understand the major quasi-experimental designs
7. Understand the design of observational research
8. Be able to do the following for each of the designs introduced in the class
 - a. Explain the logic of the design
 - b. Explain how each design tries to address causation
 - c. Describe the strengths and weakness of each design
 - d. Understand and implement the analyses in SPSS using techniques such as multiple regression (MR), analysis of variance (ANOVA), analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), and analysis of repeated measures.
 - e. Understand the link between the analytical methods mentioned above and the general linear modeling
 - f. Calculate the power of these statistical tests
 - g. Interpret the results

Course Requirements

During the course of the semester, students will complete the following assignments.

Quizzes Three quizzes (based on Chs. 3, 4, 5, 6 & 8) will be given online. These quizzes contain multiple-choice questions. They are designed for evaluating your content knowledge. The key for each quiz will be available to you upon your submission.

Analysis of research ethics Students will be provided with a short proposal/an article to review and to assess the research ethics raised in the case. This assignment will serve as the assessment of Conceptual Framework Standard 3 (see p.9). The guideline will be provided in class.

Statistics assignments Assignments in this class will involve the analyses of research designs as well as data analyses using SPSS. For the assignments that require SPSS, students are encouraged to pair with another student in the class and work as a group (no more than two students in a group). Students are also allowed and encouraged to discuss with other individuals/groups. However, *each group should write up the answers independently and submit one copy of the group work by the due date. Do not circulate your homework.*

Late work is not acceptable unless prior arrangements have been made with the instructor. *Late assignments will automatically be worth only half of their original point value. No extra credits/work will be given to make up points that are taken off.*

Presentation This activity is an opportunity for you to practice searching quantitative literature with specific goals as well as to practice presenting a quantitative study with research designs introduced in the class. More details will be provided.

Final exam The final exam is open-book and open-note, and you may use calculators during the exam. The exam will be conducted online, and it is expected that you work independently. You will have four hours to work on it even though it is designed to be finished within 1.5 hours.

Participation Class participation includes but is not limited to, attending class and submitting assignments on time, actively participating in class activities and discussions, asking and answering questions, listening to and respecting the views, thoughts, and opinions of your classmates. If you must be absent from class because of illness or emergency, notify the instructor as early as possible.

Grades

Grades will be based on points accumulated on analytical papers, statistics assignments, midterm exam, and participation. There will be 100 total possible points, distributed as follows:

Quizzes	15%
Homework assignments	40%
Ethic essay	10%
Presentation	10%
Final exam	15%
Participation	10%

The grade ranges in terms of percentage are:

92.0 to 100.0: A;

86.0 to 91.9: A-;

80.0 to 85.9: B+;

74.0 to 79.9: B;

70.0 to 73.5: B-;

69.9 & below: C;

Responsible Conduct in Research and Scholarship (RCRS)

Loyola University Chicago is committed to ensuring that all its faculty and students have the opportunity to be properly trained in the ethical and responsible conduct of research and scholarly integrity and are held to the highest possible ethical standards. In order to ensure each faculty and student at Loyola has the basic foundation needed to learn and apply the ethical standards of their discipline/profession, he or she is encouraged to complete or register for the no-credit Responsible Conduct in Research and Scholarship course (UNIV 370) prior to involvement in funded research activity involving the NSF, NIH, or any other federal agency requiring training. Beginning Fall 2011, the Graduate School is requiring RCRS training for all matriculating PhD students and master's students enrolled in thesis-oriented programs. Graduate Program Directors in non-thesis master's programs may recommend RCRS training for their students. For purposes of applying this policy, research means a systematic investigation, including research development, testing and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge. Activities which meet this definition constitute research for purposes of this policy, whether or not they are conducted or supported under a program which is considered research for other purposes. For example, some demonstration and service programs may include research activities. Typically, thesis and dissertation projects required by an academic program to receive a degree are considered research activities. School of Education master's students who have completed RMTD 400 – Introduction to Research Methodologies and received a grade of B or higher have fulfilled the requirement for RCRS training. School of Education doctoral students who have completed the two-course sequence, RMTD 420 and RMTD 421, and received a grade of B or higher in both courses have fulfilled the requirement for RCRS training. School of Education students who do not meet these requirements will need to complete the UNIV 370 course. More information about the RCRS policy can be found here: <http://www.luc.edu/ors/RCRHome.shtml>.

Dispositions

Each course in the School of Education focuses on one or more professional dispositions. Students are offered opportunities to receive feedback on their dispositional growth in the areas of professionalism, Inquiry and/or social justice. The evaluation of SOE student's disposition will be recorded in LiveText. The

expected behaviors for specific dispositions for this class and the evaluation rubrics are listed in the end of this syllabus.

IDEA Objectives

IDEA is an evaluation system that our School uses to assess whether a class reaches the major goals in the end of the semester. The essential objectives for this course are:

- Gaining a basic understanding of the subject (e.g., factual knowledge, methods, principles, generalizations, theories)
- Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)
- Learning appropriate methods for collecting, analyzing, and interpreting numerical information

Please see Syllabus Addendum below for more information.

Loyola University Chicago School of Education Syllabus Addendum

IDEA Course Evaluation Link for Students

Each course you take in the School of Education is evaluated through the IDEA Campus Labs system. We ask that when you receive an email alerting you that the evaluation is available that you promptly complete it. To learn more about IDEA or to access the website directly to complete your course evaluation go to: <http://luc.edu/idea/> and click on **STUDENT IDEA LOGIN** on the left hand side of the page.

Dispositions

All students are assessed on one or more dispositional areas of growth across our programs: **Professionalism, Inquiry, and Social Justice**. The instructor in your course will identify the dispositions assessed in this course and you can find the rubrics related to these dispositions in LiveText. *For those students in non-degree programs, the rubric for dispositions may be available through Sakai, TaskStream or another platform.* Disposition data is reviewed by program faculty on a regular basis. This allows faculty to work with students to develop throughout their program and address any issues as they arise.

LiveText

All students, *except those who are non-degree*, must have access to LiveText to complete the benchmark assessments aligned to the Conceptual Framework Standards and all other accreditation, school-wide and/or program-wide related assessments. You can access more information on LiveText here: [LiveText](#).

Syllabus Addendum Link

- www.luc.edu/education/syllabus-addendum/

This link directs students to statements on essential policies regarding *academic honesty, accessibility, ethics line reporting* and *electronic communication policies and guidelines*. We ask that you read each policy carefully.

This link will also bring you to the full text of our conceptual framework that guides

Tentative schedule

Week	Date	Topics	Reading	Due
1	1/18	Introduction - Postpositivism - Ethic issues - Scientific approach/method	Ch. 1	
2	1/25	More about ethics – RCRS Reliability & validity	Ch. 3; RCRS ^a : Forward, Preface, Chapters 7 and 10 Quick review of Ch. 2 if not familiar with literature review	
3	2/1	Sample and description of sample in quantitative research Quick review of hypothesis testing	Quick review of Chs. 4 & 5 & 6	Ch 3 Quiz due
4	2/8	Causal relationship Concepts of experimental designs & Quasi-experimental designs	Ch. 8	Chs 4-6 Quiz due
5	2/15	Independent-groups designs - two independent groups (review) - multiple independent groups (ANOVA)	Ch. 9	Ch 8 Quiz due
6	2/22	Dependent-groups designs - Two dependent groups - Dependent samples ANOVA	Ch. 10	Ethic paper due
7	2/29	Factorial designs - 2* 2 designs	Ch. 11	Assig # 1 due : t-test & ANOVA
8	3/7	~*~*~ Spring break ~*~*~		
9	3/14	Factorial designs	Ch. 11	Assig # 2

		- Covariates & other complicated designs		due : Dependent samples *Submit the selected paper for presentation
10	3/21	Correlational designs - Correlation & Multiple regression	Ch. 7	Assig # 3 due : two-way ANOVA
11	3/28	Correlational design - Logistic regression	Ch. 7	Assig # 4 due : ANCOVA
12	4/4	Nonparametric statistics	Ch. 12	Assig # 5 due : Regression
13	4/11	How to choose a right design and a correct analytical approach	Ch. 14	
14	4/18	Presentation I		
15	4/25	Presentation II		
16		Final exam – Online		Due 5/4/2017

a. ORI Introduction to the Responsible Conduct of Research:
<http://ori.dhhs.gov/education/products/RCRintro/index.html>

Research Methodology Program – Core Assessment

Course: RMTD 421 – Educational Research II

Core assessment: Essay on research ethics

For this core assessment, the student will read an article or case study provided by the instructor that addresses an aspect of research ethics.

CF Standard 3: Candidates demonstrate knowledge of ethics and social justice.

Core assessment Rubric:

	Does Not Meet Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Meets Standard	Exceeds Standard
CFS 3	Essay demonstrates very limited knowledge of the principles of ethical clinical research and social justice in the context of randomized control study	Essay demonstrates some knowledge of the principles of ethical clinical research and social justice in the context of randomized control study	Essay demonstrates adequate understanding of the principles of ethical clinical research and social justice in the context of randomized control study	Essay demonstrates clear understanding of the principles of ethical clinical research and social justice in the context of randomized control study

The Belmont Report (if you have not read it in your previous course):

<http://ohsr.od.nih.gov/guidelines/belmont.html>

ORI Introduction to the Responsible Conduct of Research:

<http://ori.dhhs.gov/education/products/RCRintro/index.html>

Read *Forward, Preface, Chapters 7 and 10*

Research Methodology PhD Program - Disposition Assessment

Course: RMTD 421 – Educational Research II

b	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard
Professionalism (professional domain)	Candidate engages in and models ethical practice and on-going learning to promote personal growth.	Candidate engages in ethical practice and on-going learning to promote personal growth.	Candidate engages in some ethical practice and on-going learning to promote personal growth.	Candidate does not engage in ethical practice and on-going learning to promote personal growth.
Professionalism (management domain)	Candidate manages time and responsibilities to meet deadlines and expectations, making adjustments as appropriate and assist others to meet the standard.	Candidate manages time and responsibilities to meet deadlines and expectations, making adjustments as appropriate.	More than 2/3 of the time throughout the course candidate manages time and responsibilities to meet deadlines and expectations, making adjustments as appropriate.	Candidate does not manage time and responsibilities to meet deadlines and expectations, making adjustments as appropriate.
Professionalism (management domain)	Candidate always communicates effectively and appropriately with faculty and peers.	Candidate usually communicates effectively and appropriately with faculty and peers.	Candidate sometimes communicates effectively and appropriately with faculty and peers.	Candidate does not communicate effectively and appropriately with faculty and peers.
Social Justice (context domain)	Candidate always respects and appropriately responds to the context(s) (i.e., environment, structure, culture, history, values, politics, economics, power, privilege) within which the	Most of the time candidate respects and appropriately responds to the context(s) (i.e., environment, structure, culture, history, values, politics, economics, power, privilege)	Candidate sometimes respects and appropriately responds to the context(s) (i.e., environment, structure, culture, history, values, politics, economics, power, privilege) within	Candidate does not respect and appropriately respond to the context(s) (i.e., environment, structure, culture, history, values, politics, economics, power, privilege) within which the

b	Exceeds Standard	Meets Standard	Partially Meets Standard	Does Not Meet Standard
	candidate is working.	within which the candidate is working.	which the candidate is working.	candidate is working.
Inquiry (methodology domain)	Candidate is able to carry out an inquiry process, including asking questions, designing studies, sampling, collecting and analyzing data, interpreting results, and reporting findings outside of the classroom setting.	Candidate is able to carry out an inquiry process, including asking questions, designing studies, sampling, collecting and analyzing data, interpreting results, and reporting findings.	Candidate sometimes is able to carry out an inquiry process, including asking questions, designing studies, sampling, collecting and analyzing data, interpreting results, and reporting findings.	Candidate is not able to carry out an inquiry process, including asking questions, designing studies, sampling, collecting and analyzing data, interpreting results, and reporting findings.