Welcome, Meeting Purpose & Agenda
The meeting commenced at 1:35 PM with a review of the agenda and introduction of guests. The minutes from the May 3rd meeting were reviewed and approved as written.

Data Warehouse/ Business Intelligence Vendor Recommendation & Approval
The DW/BI initiative is progressing on schedule with an overall health of green. The RFP has been submitted, responses returned, analysis completed and a final vendor selected. The detailed timeline was reviewed. Eight vendors were selected based on DW/BI best practices and comparable peer/aspirational school solutions and analytics. Of the recipients three dropped out, two were eliminated based on their response and three were reviewed in detail. These were iStrategy, Oracle and Phytorion. These RFP’s were reviewed based on response to requirements and scored accordingly. Details are contained in the Data Warehouse/Business Intelligence Vendor Recommendation Report which was distributed in the meeting. Phytorion scored the highest on critical requirements and also has the initial lowest costs. The Phytorion solution also matches up with our existing toolsets and internal infrastructure. The program committee recommends this vendor. The next steps are to complete the due diligence with Phytorion and with our business intelligence vendor IBI. Task: Complete the due diligence process for Phytorion and come back with the final results and cost estimates. Susan noted that Phytorion will allow us to get to the faculty information that we struggle with getting access to. While the other vendors have solid offerings, their solutions wouldn't accommodate our faculty information and reporting requirements as an initial implementation and would also require incremental investment above and beyond their initial pricing to build. John asked about the primary value of a DW/BI solution. Susan summarized that the primary value of a DW is an institutional repository that is reliable, repetitive, accurate and effective. Rick added that there will be additional data available to support academic and administrative decisions. With our current solution we cannot get at this type of decision making information without significant effort. Kevin added that the data governance we are putting in place will ensure that consistent data definitions and algorithms are applied so that the data is looked at in a common way. There was consensus to move forward with finalizing the Phytorion recommendation.

Information Security Advisory Council Program Recommendations & Approval
Jim presented the final results of the information security risk assessment and information program recommendation. 133 items were reviewed in total with 17 of those being considered for prioritization. The ISAC prioritized the items using the same process as the ITESC does when creating the plan of record. The information security program will fundamentally, focus on the protection and exchange of online information, improved defenses from external attack and overall information security awareness. A detailed report and scope statements for each item in the program was provided. Deliverables will be created in an iterative fashion over an extended period of time. The ISAC members will play an integral role in the success of the program. The execution of the projects will depend on the overall placement within the plan of record and normal project type constraints (resources, skills, budget, etc.). Updates on the progress of the program will be given to the ITESC on a regular basis via scheduled subcommittee updates. There was agreement to move forward.
Academic Technology Committee FY11 Agenda
Carol reported that the ATC membership is stable and attendance is good. This includes the confirmation that the student members will be returning. Specific priorities and ATC responsibilities for FY11 include:

Copyright – Help define the LUC copyright policy which includes the clarification of the intellectual properties policies.

ePortfolio – Garner the faculty student & administration participation and selection of an appropriate solution to be implemented by 7/1/2011. The current solution, Symplify-Reflection, has been deemed inadequate for use. Initial budget estimated at $100,000 for an enterprise solution.

Digital Repository – Working with the Library to determine the university need for such a repository and models in use at other universities.

Online Guidelines - Investigate and create a definition for on-line courses and present information back to the ITESC.

Technology Updates - Assist in raising the awareness and use of online classroom tools (Wimba, Adobe Connect, Classroom Capture, Mobile Learn), Google (Bboogle) pilot, and the Blackboard upgrade to version 9.1.

Research Data Center (RDC)
Topic was deferred until the after the first meeting of the RDC steering committee so that specific details could be shared with the ITESC regarding the governance of the RDC.

Susan reminded everyone of the upcoming budget cycle, potential timings, and coordinated efforts for FY12 budget and resource requirements for technology acquisitions & services. Next meeting is scheduled for October 7th. The meeting adjourned at 3:00 pm.