



**SHADOW  
FINANCIAL  
REGULATORY  
COMMITTEE**

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

LAWRENCE CONNELL  
Co-Chair  
Attorney-at-Law

GEORGE G. KAUFMAN  
Co-Chair  
Loyola University  
of Chicago

RICHARD C. ASPINWALL  
Chase Manhattan Bank

GEORGE J. BENSTON  
Emory University

FRANKLIN R. EDWARDS  
Columbia University

ROBERT A. EISENBEIS  
University of North Carolina  
at Chapel Hill

RICHARD J. HERRING  
University of Pennsylvania

PAUL M. HORVITZ  
University of Houston

EDWARD J. KANE  
Boston College

FRANCO MODIGLIANI  
Massachusetts Institute of  
Technology

NETH E. SCOTT  
Stanford Law School

PETER J. WALLISON  
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher

**SUPPORTERS INCLUDE**

LOYOLA UNIVERSITY  
OF CHICAGO

SARAH SCAIFE  
FOUNDATION

Administrative Office  
c/o Professor George Kaufman  
Loyola University of Chicago  
820 North Michigan Avenue  
Chicago, Illinois 60611  
TEL (312) 915-7075  
FAX (312) 915-6118

Statement No. 126

For information contact:

George G. Kaufman  
312/915-7075

**Statement of Shadow Financial Regulatory Committee**

on

**Values of Bank Capital Tripwires for Prompt Corrective Action and  
Least Cost Resolution**

December 11, 1995

The FDIC Improvement Act (FDICIA) of 1991 emphasizes the importance of capital tripwires for federally insured banks and thrifts for purposes of prompt corrective action and least cost resolution to reduce both the frequency and cost of bank failures and to protect the deposit insurance fund. Capital provided by private shareholders serves as a layer of self insurance and reduces the potential need for tapping public capital provided by taxpayers.

FDICIA establishes five capital categories corresponding to increasingly stringent supervisory measures. The levels for each relevant capital category are determined by the appropriate federal banking agency. In 1992, the regulatory agencies set the following capital levels:

**CAPITAL RATIOS**

(Percent)

	Risk-Based <sup>a</sup>		Leverage <sup>b</sup>
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Tier 1<sup>c</sup></u>	<u>Tier 1<sup>c</sup></u>
Well capitalized	>10	>6	>5
Adequately capitalized	>8	>4	>4
Undercapitalized	<8	<4	<4
Significantly undercapitalized	<6	<3	<3
Critically undercapitalized			<2 <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Risk weights determined by banking agencies.

<sup>b</sup> Capital-to-assets ratio.

<sup>c</sup> Basically equity.

<sup>d</sup> Tangible equity.

In part, the values of these capital tripwires were constrained by the poor financial condition of the institutions in 1991. At that time, banks holding 25 percent of all commercial banking assets were classified as undercapitalized, and thrift institutions were in even poorer condition. The Shadow Financial Regulatory Committee criticized these threshold values on the grounds that they were below the levels that the market would require uninsured institutions to maintain and focuses on book (historical acquisition cost) value to measure capital rather than on the more relevant market (current) value (see Statements 84, 95, and 112). But, the financial condition of insured depository institutions has improved greatly since 1992. At mid-year 1995, a negligible percentage of both banking and thrift institution assets were held by institutions classified as undercapitalized.

The levels of accounting capital currently maintained by most institutions are considerably greater than the tripwire values for well capitalized institutions. This provides an opportunity to raise the levels of the capital tripwires closer to those that the market would demand of noninsured institutions to prevent troubled institutions from imposing losses on creditors. For these institutions, use of accounting leeway makes accounting capital increasingly overstated as its reported value shrinks. Accordingly, the Committee recommends that the values of the capital tripwires for each category be raised by at least one percentage point effective mid-year 1996.

The recommended increase would demote only few institutions into the undercapitalized category and these are the institutions that pose the greatest threat of escalating losses for the Fund. Increasing capital ratios at the nation's undercapitalized institutions will better protect the deposit insurance fund and reduce cross-subsidization of troubled institutions by financially healthy institutions.

The need to raise the values of the capital ratios for both prompt correction action and least cost resolution purposes is particularly important given the FDIC's decision to effectively eliminate insurance premiums for well-capitalized and well-managed BIF insured institutions (see Statement 127).